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1 INTRODUCTION

The Cowal Gold Mine (the CGM) is located approximately 38 kilometres (km) north of West Wyalong in New South Wales (NSW) (Figure 1). Barrick (Cowal) Pty Limited (Barrick) is the owner and operator of the CGM. Barrick is a wholly owned subsidiary of Barrick (Australia Pacific) Pty Limited.

Development Consent for the CGM (including the Bland Creek Palaeochannel Borefield water supply pipeline) was granted by the NSW Minister for Urban Affairs and Planning under Part 4 of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 (EP&A Act) on 26 February 1999 (DA 14/98). Development Consent (DA 2011/64) for the operation of the eastern saline borefield was granted by the Forbes Shire Council on 20 December 2010.

Barrick was granted approval by the NSW Minister for Planning to modify the Development Consent (DA 14/98) for the CGM Extension Modification under Section 75W of the EP&A Act on 22 July 2014. The CGM Extension Modification involves the continuation and extension of open pit mining and processing operations at the CGM for an additional operational life of approximately 5 years (i.e. to 2024). The general arrangement of the approved CGM is provided in Figure 2.

A copy of the Development Consent (DA 14/98) for the CGM (as modified on 22 July 2014) is available on the Barrick website (www.barrick.com).

A Dust Management Plan (DMP) has previously been prepared by Barrick in 2003 (including Addenda dated 2007 and 2008) in accordance with the CGM’s Development Consent in consultation with the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) and National Parks and Wildlife Service, and to the satisfaction of the then Department of Planning. This Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) supersedes the former DMP.

1.1 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

Objectives

The primary objective of this AQMP is to establish an air quality management strategy for the CGM that complies with the Development Consent conditions, by:

- describing the measures that would be implemented to comply with the air quality impact assessment criteria and operating conditions within the Development Consent;
- detailing the air quality monitoring programme that will be used to determine the effectiveness of management measures and compliance with the conditions of the Development Consent and the CGM’s Environment Protection Licence (EPL) No. 11912; and
- outlining the review, assessment and reporting procedures relevant to this AQMP.

Scope

This AQMP has been prepared to reflect the modified Development Consent approved by the NSW Minister for Planning on 22 July 2014 under section 75W of the EP&A Act, in accordance with the revision requirements of Condition 9.1 of the Development Consent.

In accordance with the Development Consent condition requirements, the EPA has been consulted during the preparation of this AQMP.
The remainder of this AQMP is structured as follows:

Section 2: Presents the statutory requirements relating to air quality emissions from the CGM.

Section 3: Identifies the air quality impact assessment criteria and other relevant air quality emissions criteria defined in the Development Consent.

Section 4: Discusses the baseline and existing air quality monitoring results to date.

Section 5: Identifies potential dust generation and greenhouse gas sources for which management measures are proposed.

Section 6: Outlines the air quality monitoring programme for the CGM.

Section 7: Details the CGM’s air quality management measures.

Section 8: Presents air quality assessment procedure that will be implemented to minimise dust emissions from day to day activities.

Section 9: Describes the compliance assessment protocol that will be implemented in the event monitoring results indicate an exceedance of the air quality assessment criteria and outlines the incident notification protocol.

Section 10: Details complaint register requirements and dispute resolution procedures.

Section 11: Outlines the independent review process in the event that a landowner considers air quality levels are in exceedance of the air quality impact assessment criteria at their dwelling.

Section 12: Presents community consultation requirements including the Community Environmental Monitoring and Consultative Committee (CEMCC) which provides opportunities for landholders or community members to discuss specific issues of concern.

Section 13: Details the Independent Environmental Audit requirements and Independent Monitoring Panel review procedures.

Section 14: Presents the Annual Review reporting requirements and the requirements for review of this AQMP.

Section 15: Lists the references cited in this plan.

Section 16: Lists the abbreviations and acronyms used in this plan.
2 STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

2.1 DEVELOPMENT CONSENT CONDITIONS

This AQMP has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Development Consent Condition 6.1(c). The requirements of Condition 6.1(c) and other conditions relevant to this AQMP are outlined in Table 1 below.

Table 1
Development Consent Conditions Relevant to this AQMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Consent Condition</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1 Air Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Impact Assessment Criteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Applicant shall ensure that all reasonable and feasible avoidance and mitigation measures are employed so that particulate matter emissions generated by the development do not cause exceedances of the criteria listed in Tables 3, 4 and 5 at any residence on privately-owned land.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3: Long term impact assessment criteria for particulate matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant</td>
<td>Averaging Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total suspended particulate (TSP) matter</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter &lt; 10 µm (PM₁₀)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 4: Short term impact assessment criterion for particulate matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant</td>
<td>Averaging Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter &lt; 10 µm (PM₁₀)</td>
<td>24 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 5: Long term impact assessment criteria for deposited dust</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutant</td>
<td>Averaging period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposited dust</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes to Tables 3-5:

a Total impact (i.e. incremental increase in concentrations due to the development plus background concentrations due to all other sources).

b Incremental impact (i.e. incremental increase in concentrations due to the development on its own).

c Deposited dust is to be assessed as insoluble solids as defined by Standards Australia, AS/NZS 3580.10.1:2003: Methods for Sampling and Analysis of Ambient Air - Determination of Particulate Matter – Deposited Matter – Gravimetric Method.

d Excludes extraordinary events such as bushfires, prescribed burning, dust storms, fire incidents or any other activity agreed by the Secretary.

(b) Operating Conditions

The Applicant shall:

(i) implement best management practice to minimise the off-site odour, fume, spontaneous combustion and dust emissions of the development; Section 7.1

(ii) implement all reasonable and feasible measures to minimise the release of greenhouse gas emissions from the site; Section 7.2

(iii) minimise any visible off-site air pollution generated by the development; Section 7.1

(iv) minimise the surface disturbance on the site; Sections 7.3 and 7.4

(v) minimise the air quality impacts of the development during adverse meteorological conditions and extraordinary events (see note d above under Tables 3-5); and Section 7.1

(vi) carry out regular monitoring to determine whether there is compliance with the relevant conditions of this consent, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. Section 6
Table 1 (Continued)
Development Consent Conditions Relevant to this AQMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Consent Condition</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(c) <strong>Air Quality Management Plan</strong></td>
<td>This AQMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Applicant shall prepare and implement an Air Quality Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) be prepared in consultation with the EPA;</td>
<td>Section 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) describe the measures that would be implemented to ensure compliance with the relevant air quality criteria and operating conditions of this approval:</td>
<td>Sections 6 to 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) include an air quality monitoring program that:</td>
<td>Section 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• evaluates and reports on the:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>− the effectiveness of the air quality management system;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>− the compliance with the air quality criteria;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>− compliance with the air quality operating conditions; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• defines what constitutes an air quality incident, and includes a protocol for identifying and notifying the Department and relevant stakeholders of any air quality incidents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the above, the following Development Consent Conditions are also relevant to this AQMP:

- Condition 8.1 outlines the notification requirements for affected landowners in the event of an exceedance of the air quality impact assessment criteria. This condition is addressed in Section 9.
- Condition 8.2 outlines the independent review process in the event that a landowner of privately-owned land considers the CGM to be exceeding the air quality impact assessment criteria. This condition is reproduced in full and discussed in Section 11.
- Condition 2.4(b) details the requirements for progressive (and interim) rehabilitation of the site to minimise the area exposed for dust generation. This condition is addressed in Section 7.4.
- Condition 9.1(d) establishes the requirements for a Community Environmental Monitoring and Consultative Committee and is reproduced in full and addressed in Section 12.
- Conditions 9.2(a) and 9.2(b) establish the requirements for an Independent Environmental Audit and an Independent Monitoring Panel. These conditions are reproduced in full and discussed in Section 13.
- Conditions 9.1(b) and 9.1(c) establish the reporting and review requirements for this AQMP and are reproduced in full and discussed in Section 14.
- Condition 9.4(a)(v) outlines the requirements for a complaints register. This condition is reproduced in full and discussed in Section 10.

2.2 **EPL CONDITIONS**

Condition O3 of the EPL is relevant to the requirement to carry out activities at the CGM, in a manner that will minimise the generation, or emissions from the premises, of wind-blown or traffic generated dust. The requirements of Condition O3 are consistent with the requirements of Development Consent Condition 6.1(b).
Condition P1 of the EPL outlines the relevant EPL dust monitoring sites, and Condition M2.2 outlines the pollutants/parameters required to be monitored. A detailed description of the CGM’s air quality monitoring programme is provided in Section 6. As required by Condition L6 of the EPL, no offensive odours would be emitted from the CGM.

2.3 CONDITIONS OF AUTHORITY ML 1535

The NSW Department of Trade and Investment, Regional Infrastructure and Services – Division of Resources and Energy (DRE) Conditions of Authority for Mining Lease (ML) 1535 includes requirements that relate to air quality through rehabilitation (Conditions 12 and 13), management of soil erosion and air pollution (Condition 14) and the reporting of air quality monitoring results within the Annual Environmental Management Report (AEMR) (now the Annual Review) (Condition 26). Conditions 12, 13 and 14 are reproduced below, and Condition 26 is addressed in Section 14.

Rehabilitation

12. (a) Land disturbed must be rehabilitated to a stable and permanent form suitable for a subsequent land use acceptable to the Director General and in accordance with the Mining Operations Plan so that:

- there is no adverse environmental effect outside of the disturbed area and that the land is properly drained and protected from soil erosion.
- the state of the land is compatible with the surrounding land use and land use requirements.
- the landforms, soils, hydrology and flora require no greater maintenance than in the surrounding land.
- in cases where revegetation is required and native vegetation has been removed or damaged, the original species must be re-established with close reference to the flora survey included in the Mining Operations Plan. If the original vegetation was not native, any re-established vegetation must be appropriate for the area and at an acceptable density.
- the land does not pose a threat to public safety.

(b) Any topsoil that is removed must be stored and maintained in a manner acceptable to the Director General.

13. The lease holder must comply with any direction given by the Director-General regarding the stabilisation and revegetation of any mine residues, tailings or overburden dumps situated on the lease area.

Prevention of Soil Erosion and Pollution

14. Operations must be carried out in a manner that does not cause or aggravate air pollution, water pollution (including sedimentation) or soil contamination or erosion, unless otherwise authorised by a relevant approval, and in accordance with an accepted Mining operation Plan. For the purpose of this condition, water shall be taken to be include any watercourse, waterbody or groundwaters. The lease holder must observe and perform any instruction given by the director general.

These Conditions of Authority are addressed in Section 7.
2.4 RELEVANT LEGISLATION


Section 124 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (POEO Act) makes it an offence to operate any plant (other than domestic plant) at those premises in such a manner as to cause air pollution from those premises if the air pollution is caused by the occupier’s failure to maintain the plant in an efficient condition, or to operate the plant in a proper and efficient manner.

Section 129 of the POEO Act states that the occupier of a premises must not cause or permit the emission of any offensive odour.

Also relevant to this AQMP, under section 148 of the POEO Act, duties are imposed on employers and employees to notify the EPA (or local council) where a pollution incident causes or threatens material harm to the environment.

The obligations under the POEO Act described above are relevant to the requirements of the CGM’s EPL detailed in Section 2.2.

National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act, 2007

Barrick currently reports annual greenhouse gas (GHG) emission and energy consumption from the CGM to the federal government in accordance with the requirements of the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting (NGER) Scheme legislated under the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act, 2007.

Three ‘scopes’ of emissions are relevant to CGM activities (Pacific Environment Limited [PEL], 2013):

- **Scope 1 emissions** - those emissions that occur from sources that are owned or controlled by the reporting entity, such as the emissions resulting from the transportation of materials, products, waste and employees;
- **Scope 2 emissions** - indirect emissions that account for GHG emissions from the generation of purchased energy products by the entity, such as purchased electricity; and
- **Scope 3 emissions** - those emissions that are a consequence of the activities of an entity, but which arise from sources not owned or controlled by that entity, such as emissions associated with the extraction, processing and transport of fuels.

The sources and associated scopes of GHG emissions at the CGM are discussed in detail in Section 5.2.
3 AIR QUALITY CRITERIA

As set out in Section 2, relevant air quality criteria are derived from the Development Consent and EPL conditions.

The Development Consent and EPL conditions require the management, monitoring and reporting of dust deposition and particulate matter emissions from the CGM.

In accordance with Development Consent Condition 6.1(a) all reasonable and feasible avoidance and mitigation measures will be undertaken so that particulate matter emissions generated by the CGM do not cause exceedances of the criteria in Tables 2, 3 and 4 at any residence on privately-owned land. Particulate matter and deposited dust emissions can be defined as follows:

- **TSP** – total suspended particulate matter refers to all suspended particles in the air. In practice, the upper size range is typically 30 micrometres (µm) – 50 µm (PEL, 2013);
- **PM\textsubscript{10}** – refers to all particles with equivalent aerodynamic diameters of less than 10 µm, that is, all particles that behave aerodynamically in the same way as spherical particles with a unit density (PEL, 2013); and
- **dust deposition** (deposited matter) – this term describes any particulate matter that falls out from suspension in the atmosphere. This measurement is usually expressed in units of mass per area per time (e.g. grams per square metre per month [g/m\textsuperscript{2}/month]).

Further detail on applicable criteria for these aspects of air quality is provided below.

**Dust Deposition**

Table 2 details the long-term impact assessment criteria for deposited dust for any residence on privately-owned land as required by Development Consent Condition 6.1(a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Averaging Period</th>
<th>Maximum Increase in Deposited Dust Level</th>
<th>Maximum Total Deposited Dust Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deposited dust(^a)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2 g/m\textsuperscript{2}/month(^b)</td>
<td>4 g/m\textsuperscript{2}/month(^{a,c})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Deposited dust is to be assessed as insoluble solids as defined by Standards Australia, AS/NZS 3580.10.1:2003: Methods for Sampling and Analysis of Ambient Air – Determination of Particulate Matter – Deposited Matter – Gravimetric Method.

\(^b\) Incremental impact (i.e. incremental increase in concentrations due to the development on its own).

\(^c\) Total impact (i.e. incremental increase in concentrations due to the development plus background concentrations due to all other sources).

This criteria reflects the impact assessment criteria described in the EPA’s guideline Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales (2005).
**Particulate Matter**

Tables 3 and 4 detail the long–term and short-term impact assessment criteria for TSP and particulate matter less than (<) 10 µm (i.e. PM$_{10}$) for any residence on privately-owned land as required under Development Consent Condition 6.1(a) respectively.

### Table 3
**Long-term Impact Assessment Criteria for Particulate Matter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Averaging Period</th>
<th>Criterion $^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total suspended particulate (TSP) matter</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>90 µg/m³ $^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter &lt; 10 µm (PM$_{10}$)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>30 µg/m³ $^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Excludes extraordinary events such as bushfires, prescribed burning, dust storms, fire incidents or any other activity agreed by the Secretary.

$^b$ Total impact (i.e. incremental increase in concentrations due to the development plus background concentrations due to all other sources).

### Table 4
**Short-term Impact Assessment Criteria for Particulate Matter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Averaging Period</th>
<th>Criterion $^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter &lt; 10 µm (PM$_{10}$)</td>
<td>24 hour</td>
<td>50 µg/m³ $^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Excludes extraordinary events such as bushfires, prescribed burning, dust storms, fire incidents or any other activity agreed by the Secretary.

$^b$ Total impact (i.e. incremental increase in concentrations due to the development plus background concentrations due to all other sources).

The short and long-term criteria above are consistent with the impact assessment criteria described in the EPA’s guideline *Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales* (2005).
4 EXISTING AIR QUALITY

4.1 BASELINE DUST MONITORING

4.1.1 Dust Deposition

Baseline dust deposition was monitored for a one year period (1993-1994) prior to the submission of the Cowal Gold Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (North Limited, 1998) at the locations shown on Figure 3. The mean deposition rates at each sampling site are summarised in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site (Figure 3)</th>
<th>Mean (g/m²/month)</th>
<th>Number of Measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: North Limited (1998)

4.1.2 TSP/PM₁₀

No baseline monitoring of TSP or PM₁₀ was undertaken prior to the granting of the Development Consent. Given the consistently recorded low levels of dust deposition, the concentrations of TSP and PM₁₀ are expected to be correspondingly low (Pavel Zib and Associates, 1997).

4.2 CGM AIR QUALITY MONITORING

4.2.1 Dust Deposition

Dust deposition monitoring has been conducted at seven sites (Figure 3) since 1999 in a continuation of the baseline monitoring undertaken prior to the issued Development Consent (Section 4.1.1). In addition to the three baseline sites (51, 52 and 54), monitoring has also been conducted at the monitoring sites of Lakeside, McLintock, Site I5 and the Site Office (Figure 3).

The CGM’s ongoing dust deposition monitoring programme is further discussed in Section 6.2.

4.2.2 TSP/PM₁₀

TSP monitoring has occurred since May 2004 at High Volume Air Sampler (HVAS) site HV1 (Figure 3). HV1 is located approximately 3 km to the north of the CGM (near the Coniston dwelling) and measures the contribution from a range of particulate matter sources, including traffic on unsealed roads, agricultural activities and dust sources associated with the existing CGM (PEL, 2013).

PM₁₀ data has been inferred from the daily TSP monitoring data by assuming that 40% of the TSP is PM₁₀ (PEL, 2013). This method for measurement of PM₁₀ results is consistent with the NSW Minerals Council’s (2000) Technical Paper – Particulate Matter and Mining Interim Report. The continuation of TSP monitoring is discussed in Section 6.3.
5 SOURCE OF EMISSIONS

5.1 AIR QUALITY

5.1.1 Substances Considered

With respect to ambient air quality, particulate matter and deposited dust are considered in this AQMP, as per the requirements of the Development Consent. This includes the following:

- TSP;
- PM$_{10}$; and
- deposited dust.

No criteria exist for particulate matter with equivalent aerodynamic diameters of less than 2.5 µm (PM$_{2.5}$) in NSW, nor is it a relevant parameter in the Development Consent. Therefore, PM$_{2.5}$ is not further considered in this AQMP. However, it should be noted that the management measures described in Section 7 will be relevant for the minimisation and control of all dust, including PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and TSP.

5.1.2 Sources of Dust and Particulate Matter

Potential dust emission sources from mining operations include (Pavel Zib and Associates, 1997):

- areas disturbed by mining activities, including waste emplacement areas and other portions of the mine site exposed to wind;
- waste rock handling and stockpiling activities (including loading and unloading, spreading and shaping of waste);
- movement of vehicles on unsealed roads for general mining activities;
- topsoil stripping and stockpiling;
- drilling and blasting; and
- crushing, screening, transport and preparation of ore.

Other sources of anthropogenic and naturally occurring particulate matter emissions that affect air quality in the region, but are not associated with CGM operations, include:

- agricultural activities;
- regional vehicle movement;
- bushfires; and
- dust storms.
5.2 GREENHOUSE GASES

Emissions of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and methane (CH$_4$) have been identified by PEL (2013) as the most significant GHGs relevant to the CGM. These gases are formed and released during the combustion of fuels used on-site (PEL, 2013).

Sources of GHG emissions associated with CGM operations include (PEL, 2013):

- fuel consumption during mining operations (e.g. diesel, unleaded petrol and LPG) (scope 1);
- explosives consumption during mining operations (e.g. ammonium nitrate fuel oil) (scope 1);
- indirect emissions associated with on-site electricity use (scope 2);
- indirect emissions associated with the production and transport of fuels (scope 3); and
- indirect emissions associated with electricity lost in transmission and distribution networks (scope 3).
6 AIR QUALITY MONITORING PROGRAMME

The air quality monitoring programme for the CGM has been developed based on:

- Development Consent and EPL condition requirements;
- results of baseline studies conducted for the EIS;
- results of air quality monitoring to date (i.e. from 1999 [prior to construction] to 2014 [during operation of the CGM]); and
- consideration of the potential impacts resulting from operation of the CGM.

The monitoring programme will be used to assess compliance with Development Consent and EPL conditions and to review the effectiveness of air quality management measures. The CGM’s air quality monitoring programme will use a network of dust deposition gauges within and surrounding ML 1535 (Section 6.2). The location of all monitoring sites (dust deposition and TSP) has been developed in consultation with the EPA.

It will be the responsibility of the Environmental Manager to implement the monitoring programme and to ensure monitoring is conducted in accordance with applicable standards and guidelines described in Sections 6.2 and 6.3.

An air quality monitoring report will be prepared annually by a suitably qualified specialist and will include analysis of the air quality monitoring programme results, a compliance review and any recommended measures to improve the air quality management at the CGM.

6.1 METEOROLOGY

As required by Development Consent Condition 6.2 and EPL Condition M4, a meteorological station has been installed at the CGM to provide data to be used for predicting air quality impacts. The automated meteorological station is currently located near the southern boundary of ML 1535, west of the exploration facilities (Figure 4). The station records rainfall, wind speed, wind direction and temperature. When used in conjunction with the air quality monitoring results, meteorological data (in particular, wind speed, wind direction and rainfall) will be used to manage air quality emissions (Sections 7 and 8) and for the review of air quality management practices.

6.2 DUST DEPOSITION GAUGES

Table 6 lists the network of 12 dust gauges that will be used to monitor dust deposition in the vicinity of the CGM (Figure 4). The dust deposition monitoring sites have been located:

- proximal to relevant privately-owned residences;
- proximal to key infrastructure components of the CGM (i.e. tailings storage facilities [TSFs] and waste rock emplacements [WREs]);
- in consideration of prevailing meteorological conditions experienced at the CGM; and
- in consideration of baseline and/or historic dust monitoring locations.
## Table 6
### Dust Monitoring Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dust Gauge (Figure 4)</th>
<th>Site Location Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DG 1</td>
<td>Coniston residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 6</td>
<td>Gumbelah residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 7</td>
<td>Lake Cowal residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 9</td>
<td>Hillgrove residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG11</td>
<td>General monitoring site (proximal to TSFs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG12</td>
<td>General monitoring site (proximal to site infrastructure areas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG13</td>
<td>General monitoring site (proximal to WREs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG 14</td>
<td>General monitoring site (ML 1535 eastern boundary within Lake Cowal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLintock’s Shed</td>
<td>General monitoring site (proximal to residences generally west of the CGM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Office</td>
<td>General monitoring site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 52</td>
<td>Continuation of baseline monitoring prior to Development Consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I5</td>
<td>General monitoring site (north-east of CGM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dust deposition monitoring will be continued at Site 52, which is the only EIS baseline monitoring site which is not located directly within CGM infrastructure disturbance areas. Sites 51 and 54 are located within disturbance areas and continued monitoring at these locations is not practical or required given the range of monitoring sites proposed.

Duplicate dust deposition gauges have been installed at DG1 and DG13 which will be sampled/analysed on a three monthly basis to assist with quality control.

Dust deposition monitoring will continue to be conducted for the life of the mine consistent with the EPA’s (2007) guideline *Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales* which sets out the methods to be used for sampling and analysing air pollutants in NSW for statutory purposes (Appendix A).

The siting of individual dust monitoring sites has been undertaken in accordance with Australian/New Zealand Standard (AS/NZS) 3580.1.1:2007 – *Methods for sampling and analysis of ambient air – Guide to siting air monitoring equipment* which sets out the process for selection of monitoring sites and positioning the sampling units, and AS/NZS 3580.10.1:2003 - *Methods for sampling and analysis of ambient air – Determination of particulate matter – Deposited matter – Gravimetric method*. For dust gauges, Sections 7 and 8 of AS/NZS 3580.1.1:2007 and Section 7 of AS/NZS 3580.10.1:2003 relevantly require:

- Sampling sites should not be affected by extraneous local emissions.
- Ground level sampling sites (sample inlet less than five metres above ground level) are generally preferable in low or scarcely built up areas.
- The sampling inlet is to be located at least 5 m from the source.
- There should be unrestricted airflow of 360° around the sampling site.
- There should be a minimum clear sky angle of 120° above the sampling inlet.
- The height of the funnel aperture above ground level shall be approximately 2 m (±0.2 m) and the funnel aperture plane should be horizontal.
- Located at least 10 m from dripline of trees.
- In general, the period of exposure shall be 30 (±2) days.
All collection and analysis of dust gauge samples will be conducted in accordance with AS/NZS 3580.10.1:2003 which sets out the principles, reagents, apparatus, sampling procedures, results calculations and precision, test report format and diagrams of sampling equipment for dust deposition monitoring.

Dust deposition samples will be analysed monthly for ash content, combustible matter and insoluble solids. The dust deposition results will be compared with the criteria presented in Section 3. All dust deposition monitoring results will be reported in the Annual Review (Section 14).

6.2.1 Lake Cowal Surface Water and Sediment Monitoring Programme

In addition to the dust monitoring programme outlined above, surface water and sediment quality monitoring in Lake Cowal will be undertaken to assess potential impacts of CGM activities on lake waters.

The CGM’s surface water and sediment monitoring programme is described in detail in the Surface Water, Groundwater, Meteorological and Biological Monitoring Programme (SWGMBMP). The SWGMBMP outlines the locations, frequency and parameters/analytes of surface water and sediment monitoring within Lake Cowal and its associated watercourse inflow sites.

The surface water and sediment monitoring data will be reported in the Annual Review and will be reviewed and revised annually as part of the Annual Review process. Should analysis of surface water and sediment monitoring data and data from the dust gauge network indicate adverse effects of dust on surface water or sediment quality within Lake Cowal, additional dust suppression and control measures will be implemented in accordance with the procedures detailed in Section 8.

6.3 TOTAL SUSPENDED PARTICULATE HIGH VOLUME SAMPLER

The TSP monitoring site (HV1) is located proximal to the ‘Coniston’ residence to the north of the CGM and has been sited in consideration of prevailing meteorological monitored conditions at the CGM (Figure 4). The location and selection of a single TSP monitor was agreed with the EPA prior to construction of the CGM (EPA personal communication, 5 June 2003).

TSP will be monitored in accordance with procedures within the EPA’s (2007) guideline Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales (Appendix A). TSP will continue to be monitored for the life of the mine unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary of the NSW Department of Planning and Environment (DP&E). The siting of the high volume sampler monitoring unit has been undertaken in accordance with AS/NZS 3580.1.1:2007 and has been fenced to exclude stock. For TSP high volume samplers, Sections 7 and 8 of AS/NZS 3580.1.1:2007 relevantly require that:

- Sampling sites should not be affected by extraneous local emissions.
- Ground level sampling sites (sampling inlet less than five metres above ground level) are generally preferable in low or scarcely built up areas.
- There should be unrestricted airflow of 180° around the sampling inlet with no obstruction between the major source and the sampling inlet.
- There should be a minimum clear sky angle of 120° above the sampling inlet.
- The sampling inlet shall be 1 to 5 m above the ground surface.
• Sampler located at least 20 m from trees.
• Located at least 50 m from roads.

TSP will be measured to assess fluctuations in particulate matter concentrations on a seven day cycle (i.e. a 24 hour sample is collected every seven days). All sample collection and analysis will be conducted in accordance with AS/NZS 3580.9.3:2003 – Methods for sampling and analysis of ambient air – Determination of suspended particulate matter – Total suspended particulate matter (TSP) – High volume sampler gravimetric method. AS/NZS 3580.9.3:2003 sets out the principles, apparatus, calibration, procedures, results calculations and accuracy, test report format and diagrams of sampling equipment for TSP high volume samplers.

The TSP results will be compared with the criteria presented in Section 3, and monitoring results will be reported in the Annual Review (Section 14).

In addition to the dust deposition and TSP monitoring programmes, visual assessments of dust generating activities will be periodically conducted by the Environmental Manager or his/her delegate to identify the major dust generating activities on-site (Section 8).
7 AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT MEASURES

7.1 AIR QUALITY

The primary objective of dust control at the CGM is to limit air quality emissions to within acceptable levels as defined by the air quality impact assessment criteria detailed in Section 3.

In accordance with Development Consent Condition 6.1(b)(i), Barrick will implement best management practice to minimise the off-site dust, odour and fume emissions from the CGM. No carbonaceous material/rock occurs in the CGM open pit or in the waste rock emplacements. As a result, spontaneous combustion emissions are unlikely to occur at the CGM and are not considered in this AQMP. Odour and fume emissions associated with blasting activities at the CGM are addressed within the CGM’s Blast Management Plan.

The air quality management measures outlined in this section will be implemented to minimise emissions from the following key sources:

- general mining activities; and
- exposed areas (via wind erosion).

Tables 7 and 8 list the air quality management measures that will be used to minimise dust emissions from the above sources and to minimise the air quality impacts during adverse meteorological conditions and extraordinary events (consistent with the requirements of Development Consent Condition 6.1(b)(v)). These measures are considered to be consistent with best management practice.

Table 7
Management Measures for Mining Generated Dust Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Management Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Haul Road    | • All roads and trafficked areas will be watered and/or treated with an alternative dust suppressant (using water trucks or other methods) and regularly maintained (using graders) to minimise the generation of dust.  
• Routes will be clearly marked.  
• Obsolete roads will be ripped and re-vegetated. |
| Minor Roads  | • Development of minor roads will be limited and the locations of these will be clearly defined and within approved surface disturbance areas.  
• Regularly used minor roads will be watered and/or treated with an alternative dust suppressant (using water trucks or other methods) and regularly maintained.  
• Obsolete minor roads will be ripped and re-vegetated. |
| Materials Handling | • Prevention of truck overloading to reduce spillage during ore loading/unloading and hauling.  
• A water spray dust suppression system will be used at the primary crusher bin during truck dumping of raw ore.  
• Freefall height during ore/waste stockpiling will be limited. |
| Soil Stripping | • Soil stripping will be limited to areas required for mining operations. |
| Drilling     | • Dust aprons will be lowered during drilling for collection of fine dust.  
• Water injection or dust suppression sprays will be used when high levels of dust are being generated. |
Table 7 (continued)
Management Measures for Mining Generated Dust Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Management Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blasting</td>
<td>• Fine material collected during drilling will not be used for blast stemming.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Adequate stemming will be used at all times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Blasting will only occur following an assessment of weather conditions by the Environmental Manager to ensure that wind speed and direction will not result in excess dust emissions from the site towards adjacent residences (refer to the Blasting Management Plan for further information).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Maintenance</td>
<td>• Emissions from mobile equipment exhausts will be minimised by the implementation of a maintenance programme to service equipment in accordance with the equipment manufacturer specifications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8
Management Measures for Exposed Area Dust Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Management Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Areas Disturbed by Mining</td>
<td>• Only the minimum area necessary for mining will be disturbed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Exposed areas will be reshaped, topsoiled and revegetated as soon as practicable in accordance with Development Consent Condition 2.4(b), to minimise the generation of wind erosion dust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Emplacement Areas</td>
<td>• Exposed active work areas on waste emplacement surfaces will be watered to suppress dust where practicable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rehabilitation (i.e. reshaping, topsoil placement and revegetation) of waste emplacement areas will be conducted progressively, as soon as practicable following completion of landform, in accordance with Development Consent Condition 2.4(b).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tailings Storage Facilities</td>
<td>• During non-operational periods, dust suppression measures will be undertaken to minimise dust emissions from dry exposed areas on the surface of the tailings storage facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Stockpiles</td>
<td>• Long-term soil stockpiles will be revegetated with a cover crop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material Handling and Ore Stockpiles</td>
<td>• Prevention of truck overloading to reduce spillage during ore loading/unloading and hauling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The coarse ore stockpile will be protected by a hood to prevent wind erosion of its surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The surface of all stockpiles will be sufficiently treated to minimise dust emissions. Such treatment may include application of a dust suppressant, regular dust suppression watering or establishment of vegetation on longer term stockpiles (e.g. the low grade ore stockpile).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modification Strategies

Additional measures to minimise dust emissions involving measures to modify mining operation may be implemented based on the results of the compliance assessment protocol (Section 9.1). The Environmental Manager in co-operation with the Mining Manager may adopt a range of modifications to the mining operation. Typical modifications that may be adopted are outlined in Table 9, however, additional modification measures may also be adopted as required.
Table 9
Modifications to Dust Generating Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Component</th>
<th>Modification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Exposed Areas</td>
<td>Increased watering of exposed surfaces via water trucks or other methods as required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancillary Activities</td>
<td>Temporary cessation of ancillary or non essential on-site dust generating activities (e.g. soil stripping).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modification measures would usually be implemented in conjunction with relevant general air quality control and management measures described in Tables 7 and 8.

Dust Control Equipment

Table 10 presents the equipment that will be used to minimise dust generation at the CGM.

Table 10
Dust Control Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Type</th>
<th>Typical Control Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water (or Dust Suppressant) Trucks</td>
<td>• Haul roads and exposed trafficked surfaces and all exposed areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Sprays</td>
<td>• Ore crusher, product conveyors and conveyor feed points on stockpiles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust Collection Systems</td>
<td>• Drill rigs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoods/Covers</td>
<td>• Coarse ore stockpile.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An additional management measure that has been adopted for the CGM is the use of a baghouse and associated collection hood/ducting to filter off-gas emissions (i.e. to remove dust particles) from the gold room doré melt furnace. Particulate material collected by the baghouse will be returned to the melt furnace periodically (SNC Lavalin, 2003). This control method reduces the potential for any minor environmental emissions from the gold smelting process and maximises the retention of gold product.

Suitable rates of application of dust suppression water (or other material) will be determined on an ongoing basis through on-site experience and will be largely related to soil types, variability in mine traffic volumes and meteorological conditions (for example, higher watering rates will be applied on hot, dry, windy days when large numbers of vehicles are trafficking massive-structured soil types than on wet days). Specific application rates are not prescribed in this AQMP due to the potentially high day to day variability in weather conditions. In addition, a review of suitable measures to minimise dust from dry tailings storage facility surfaces will be undertaken based on available relevant literature, site experience and specialist input (if required). It will be the responsibility of the Mining and Environmental Managers to assess and implement suitable mitigation measures and rates of water application to minimise dust emissions from trafficked and unsealed or exposed areas.

7.2 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

In accordance with Development Consent Condition 6.1(b)(ii), Barrick will implement the measures outlined in this section to minimise the release of greenhouse gas emissions from the site.
The CGM operates an Energy Management Committee (EMC) which facilitates and implements Barrick’s global Climate Change and Energy Standard, which includes the following minimum performance standards (Barrick, 2012):

- tracking and reporting Barrick’s energy usage and green house gas emissions;
- considering the value of carbon in decision-making;
- mitigating Barrick’s carbon footprint;
- considering energy efficiency, renewable energy, mitigation and the risks of climate change;
- communicating and engaging stakeholders;
- targeting Barrick’s supply chain; and
- engaging with those who will shape public policy.

The EMC also implements the CGM’s Climate Change Program which includes energy management strategies and measures specific to operation of the CGM.

Energy management measures that will be implemented at the CGM include:

- Regular maintenance of plant and equipment to minimise fuel consumption.
- Efficient mine planning (e.g. minimising rehandling and haulage of materials) to minimise fuel consumption.
- Consideration of energy efficiency in the plant and equipment selection phase.
- Implementation of a biodiversity offset program.

Barrick currently reports annual GHG emission and energy consumption from the CGM to the federal government in accordance with the requirements of the National Greenhouse Gas and Energy Reporting System (NGERS). GHG emissions from the CGM are also reported annually as part of Barrick’s Responsibility Report.

7.3 STOCKPILE SURFACE TREATMENT

Barrick will treat all stockpiles (e.g. apply dust suppression and/or revegetate) as necessary to minimise windblown dust emissions (Table 8). Specific dust suppression activities will be determined by the Environmental Manager in consultation with the Mining Manager.

Measures to control wind-borne dust and sediment runoff from stockpiles include the following:

- stripping and placement of soil stockpiles at appropriate moisture conditions whenever possible;
- construction of stockpiles of appropriate height and batter angles;
- the application of seed, fertiliser, water or mulch to promote rapid revegetation cover and as a consequence, erosion control;
- application of soil ameliorants (e.g. gypsum or lime) to improve soil structure and reduce erosion potential; and
- minimisation of runoff to stockpile areas.

The control of wind-borne dust along the pipeline and borefield areas will include supplementary seeding where necessary to minimise exposed areas.
7.4 REHABILITATION

Further to the above controls, rehabilitation works will be undertaken progressively as soon as reasonably practicable following disturbance, in accordance with Development Consent Condition 2.4(b). Rehabilitation will be undertaken in accordance with the rehabilitation programme outlined in the CGM’s Rehabilitation Management Plan (RMP) and the Mining Operations Plan (MOP).

The general principles of the CGM’s rehabilitation programme include (Barrick, 2013):

- The rehabilitation of landforms is to be progressive and conducted in accordance with approved, verified plans.
- Final landforms are to be stable in the long-term and include native and/or endemic vegetation characteristic of remnant vegetation within the surrounding landscape.
- Endemic groundcover, understorey, tree seeds and seedlings are to be cultivated and used in the rehabilitation programme.
- Rehabilitation concepts are to be flexible to allow for adjustments, based on investigations, to improve the programme.
- The annual rehabilitation programme and budget is to be prepared by a site team incorporating senior management representatives.

The rehabilitation objectives for the CGM’s rehabilitation programme include (Barrick, 2013a):

- The water quality of Lake Cowal is not detrimentally affected by the new landforms.
- Revegetating the new landforms with selected native and/or endemic vegetation that is suited to the physiographic and hydrological features of each landform, and which expand on the areas of remnant endemic vegetation in the surrounding landscape.
- Designing final landforms so that they are stable and include revegetation growth materials that are suited to the landform and support self-sustaining vegetation.
- The placement (wherever possible) of soils on final landforms to enable the progressive establishment of vegetation.
- The expansion of habitat opportunities for wetland and terrestrial fauna species. This includes the design and implementation of rehabilitation works at the New Lake Foreshore in a manner consistent with the NSW Wetlands Policy (DECCW, 2010).
- The selection of revegetation species in accordance with accepted principles of long-term sustainability (e.g. genotypic variation, vegetation succession, water/drought tolerances).
- Grazing of land within ML 1535 to be excluded during operations and during rehabilitation of the site. At lease relinquishment, rehabilitated final landforms to be fenced with grazing excluded, with some areas suitable for grazing surrounding the rehabilitated final landforms.

The CGM’s rehabilitation concepts and measures are described in detail in the RMP, with rehabilitation planning and scheduling outlined in the MOP.

Interim rehabilitation measures that will be implemented to minimise the area exposed for dust generation will include the establishment of a cover crop on newly rehabilitated landforms/areas and on long-term soil stockpiles. Rock mulch will also be applied as soon as practicable following the completion of shaping of the waste rock emplacement and tailings storage facility baffers to minimise the potential for windblown dust from the surface.
Furthermore, following re-profiling works and rock mulch and topsoil application, native pasture hay (or straw hay) will be applied on northern and western facing landform slopes (as these aspects are subject to prevailing conditions), to assist with stabilising and minimise the loss topsoil resources.

It will be the responsibility of the Environmental Manager in co-operation with the Mining Manager to meet the CGM’s statutory requirements relevant to rehabilitation.
8 AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE

The assessment procedure outlined in this section will be implemented to minimise dust emissions from day-to-day activities.

The procedure includes the following steps:

1. Source Identification.
3. Implementation.
4. Review.

These steps are described below.

Source Identification

The first step of the air quality assessment procedure involves identification of the mining activities that will be conducted and the potential for dust generation. The following should be considered:

- the methods and types of equipment that will be used;
- the timing of the dust generating activity;
- the location of the activity (in relation to surrounding topography and land use);
- the prevailing climatic conditions, including current conditions (as measured by the on-site meteorological station) (Section 6.1) and weather forecasts; and
- the environmental conditions, including lake water levels and season.

Accordingly, if hourly average wind speeds on-site are greater than 5.6 m/s (20 km/hr) from the south and general environmental conditions are conducive to dust generation (e.g. hot and dry), the Environmental Manager or his/her delegate will review dust generation levels on-site, and assess if it is necessary to implement the management strategy phase.

Management Strategy

The management strategy component involves determination of the dust management and/or modification measures that will be used to minimise dust emissions, based on the results of the identification stage. Potential air quality management and modification measures are presented in Section 7.

Implementation

This stage of the procedure involves implementation of the measures selected in the management strategy phase. The timing for implementation of some management or modification measures will be dependent on the mine production and progression.
Review

The Environmental and Mining Managers will regularly review the effectiveness of dust control measures using visual assessment of dust generation at source (e.g. dust generation levels behind vehicles on haul roads) as well as review of general environmental and meteorological conditions and any results that may be available from the dust monitoring programme (Section 6). If required, the Manager will return to the management strategy phase of the procedure to implement further control measures as necessary.

In addition, the CGM Community Relations Manager (or delegate) will notify the Environmental Manager and Mining Manager of any complaints received from private landholders or the community in relation to dust or air quality issues. The Environmental Manager will also note any trends in the monitoring data that may emerge in regards to particular operating scenarios or meteorological conditions.
9 COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT AND INCIDENT NOTIFICATION PROTOCOLS

9.1 COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL

The results of the air quality monitoring programme will be assessed against the air quality impact assessment criteria identified in Section 3.

In the event of an exceedance of the air quality impact assessment criteria (Section 3), an assessment will be conducted to determine:

- Location of the exceedance.
- Possible reasons for the exceedance (e.g. can the exceedance be attributed directly to the CGM). This will include consideration of (but not be limited to):
  - meteorological factors;
  - exclusion of the combustible fractions of the dust samples;
  - CGM mining activities at the approximate time of the exceedance; and
  - other potential factors (including agricultural activities that may have occurred at the approximate time of the exceedance).

If the above assessment determines that the exceedance is likely due to CGM related air quality emissions, the Environmental Manager will determine appropriate modification strategies and/or management measures to be implemented in consultation with the Mining Manager.

As required by Development Consent Condition 8.1(b)(ii), as soon as practicable after confirmation of a non-compliance with the relevant air quality criteria (as determined by the compliance assessment protocol), Barrick will provide a copy of the NSW Health fact sheet entitled “Mine Dust and You” to the affected landowners and/or existing tenants of the land.

As described in Section 6, an air quality monitoring report will continue to be prepared annually by a suitably qualified specialist. This report will include analysis of the air quality monitoring programme results, a compliance assessment (as described above) and any recommended measures to improve the air quality management at the CGM.

As described in Section 14, the air quality monitoring programme results and annual report findings will be reported in the Annual Review.

9.2 INCIDENT NOTIFICATION PROTOCOL

In accordance with the Development Consent Condition 9.3(a) and under section 148 of the POEO Act, the Secretary of the DP&E, the EPA, all other relevant agencies and any affected landholder will be immediately notified of an air quality incident that has caused, or threatens to cause, material harm to the environment. Barrick will immediately implement the management or modification strategies (Section 7) necessary to minimise air quality emissions.
In accordance with Development Consent Condition 9.3(a) and Condition R2.2 of the EPL, Barrick will provide written details of the air quality incident to the Secretary of the DP&E and the EPA within 7 days of the incident, including details of measures taken or proposed to be taken to prevent or mitigate recurrence of the incident.

It will be the responsibility of the Environmental Manager in consultation with the General Manager, Mining Manager and Community Relations Manager to implement the procedures above.
10 COMPLAINTS REGISTER

A complaints register will be maintained by the Community Relations Manager in accordance with EPL Condition M5.1.

Information recorded in the complaints register with respect to each complaint will include:

- date of complaint;
- the method by which the complaint was made;
- nature of complaint; and
- response action taken to date (if no action was taken, the reasons why no action was taken).

An initial response will be provided to the complainant within 24 hours. Preliminary investigations into the complaint will commence within 48 hours of complaint receipt.

A summary of the complaints register will be displayed on the Barrick website in accordance with Development Consent Condition 9.4(a)(v) and will be updated on a monthly basis.

Dispute Resolution

In the event that dispute resolution is necessary or where air quality emission levels are subsequently demonstrated to be below the relevant criteria (Section 3), the resolution process will be one of informed discussion involving the complainant and Barrick. Barrick may also refer the dispute (with the complainant’s agreement) to the CGM’s CEMCC for mediation (Section 12). In the event that the complainant is still dissatisfied, the matter may be referred to the DP&E for consideration of further measures. Every effort will be made to ensure that concerns are addressed in a manner that results in a mutually acceptable outcome.
11 INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROCESS

In accordance with Development Consent Condition 8.2, the following independent review process will be undertaken in the event that an owner of privately-owned land considers the CGM to be exceeding the air quality impact assessment criteria (Section 3) (or any other criteria defined in the Development Consent).

8.2 Independent Review

If an owner of privately-owned land considers the development to be exceeding the criteria in this consent, then he/she may ask the Secretary in writing for an independent review of the impacts of the development on his/her land.

If the Secretary is satisfied that an independent review is warranted, then within 2 months of the Secretary’s decision, the Applicant shall:

(a) commission a suitably qualified, experienced and independent expert, whose appointment has been approved by the Secretary, to:
   • consult with the landowner to determine his/her concerns;
   • conduct monitoring to determine whether the development is complying with the relevant impact assessment criteria in condition 6 of this consent; and
   • if the development is not complying with these criteria then:
     o determine if more than one mine or development is responsible for the exceedance, and if so the relative share of each mine or development regarding the impact on the land; and
     o identify the measures that could be implemented to ensure compliance with the relevant criteria; and

(b) give the Secretary and landowner a copy of the independent review.
12 COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

Community Environmental Monitoring and Consultative Committee

A CEMCC has been established for the CGM in accordance with Development Consent Condition 9.1(d). Development Consent Condition 9.1(d) is reproduced below:

9.1 Environmental Management

(d) Community Environmental Monitoring and Consultative Committee

(i) The Applicant shall establish and operate a Community Environmental Monitoring and Consultative Committee (CEMCC) for the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This CEMCC must:

• be comprised of an independent chair and at least 2 representatives of the Applicant, 1 representative of BSC, 1 representative of the Lake Cowal Environmental Trust (but not a Trust representative of the Applicant), 4 community representatives (including one member of the Lake Cowal Landholders Association);

• be operated in general accordance with the Guidelines for Establishing and Operating Community Consultative Committees for Mining Projects (Department of Planning, 2007, or its latest version).

• monitor compliance with conditions of this consent and other matters relevant to the operation of the mine during the term of the consent.

Note: The CEMCC is an advisory committee. The Department and other relevant agencies are responsible for ensuring that the Applicant complies with this consent.

(ii) The Applicant shall establish a trust fund to be managed by the Chair of the CEMCC to facilitate the functioning of the CEMCC, and pay $2000 per annum to the fund for the duration of gold processing operations. The annual payment shall be indexed according to the Consumer Price Index (CPI) at the time of payment. The first payment shall be made by the date of the first Committee meeting. The Applicant shall also contribute to the Trust Fund reasonable funds for payment of the independent Chairperson, to the satisfaction of the Secretary.

As required, the CEMCC is comprised of:

• four community representatives (including one member of the Lake Cowal Landholders Association);

• one representative of the Lake Cowal Foundation;

• one representative of the Wiradjuri Condobolin Corporation;

• one representative of the Bland Shire Council;

• an independent chairperson; and

• two representatives of Barrick.

The CEMCC will continue to provide opportunities for members of the community to attend CEMCC meetings to discuss specific issues relevant to them. This will be achieved by landholders making a request to the CEMCC regarding a particular issue, or by the landowner registering a complaint in the complaints register. Landowners who register complaints may be invited to join in discussion of the issue at the next CEMCC meeting.
13 INDEPENDENT ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT AND INDEPENDENT MONITORING PANEL

Independent Environmental Audit

An Independent Environmental Audit will be conducted in accordance with Development Consent Condition 9.2 and may include air quality related issues. Development Consent Condition 9.2 is reproduced below:

9.2 Independent Auditing and Review

(a) Independent Environmental Audit

(i) By the end of July 2016, and every 3 years thereafter, unless the Secretary directs otherwise, the Applicant shall commission and pay the full cost of an Independent Environmental Audit of the development. This audit must:

• Be conducted by a suitably qualified, experienced and independent team of experts whose appointment has been endorsed by the Secretary;

• Include consultation with relevant regulatory agencies, BSC and CEMCC;

• Assess the environmental performance of the development and assess whether it is complying with the requirements in this consent and any other relevant approvals (such as environment protection licences and/or mining lease (including any assessment, plan or program required under this consent);

• Review the adequacy of any approved strategy, plan or program required under this consent or the aforementioned approvals; and

• Recommend measures or actions to improve the environmental performance of the development, and/or strategy, plan or program required under this consent.

Note: This audit team must be led by a suitably qualified auditor, and include ecology and rehabilitation experts, and any other fields specified by the Secretary.

(ii) Within 3 months of commissioning this audit, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Applicant shall submit a copy of the audit report to the Secretary, together with its response to any recommendations contained in the audit report, and a timetable for the implementation of these recommendations as required. The applicant must implement these recommendations, to the satisfaction of the Secretary.

In accordance with the recommendations from the Independent Monitoring Panel’s Third Annual Report of the Independent Monitoring Panel for the Cowal Gold Project (October 2007), Barrick will continue to conduct Independent Environmental Audit’s annually, instead of triennially as defined in Condition 9.2(a)(i).

Independent Monitoring Panel

An Independent Monitoring Panel (IMP) has been established in accordance with Development Consent Condition 9.2(b) to review the Independent Environmental Audits, Annual Reviews and all environmental monitoring procedures (including air quality monitoring results and management measures).
Development Consent Condition 9.2(b) provides:

9.2 Independent Auditing and Review

(b) Independent Monitoring Panel

(i) The Applicant shall at its own cost establish an Independent Monitoring Panel prior to commencement of construction. The Applicant shall contribute $30,000 per annum for the functioning of the Panel, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary. The annual payment shall be indexed according to the Consumer Price Index (CPI) at the time of payment. The first payment shall be paid by the date of commencement of construction and annually thereafter. Selection of the Panel representatives shall be agreed by the Secretary in consultation with relevant government agencies and the CEMCC. The Panel shall at least comprise two duly qualified independent environmental scientists and a representative of the Secretary.

(ii) The panel shall:

• provide an overview of the annual reviews and independent audits required by conditions 9.1(b) and 9.2(a) above;

• regularly review all environmental monitoring procedures undertaken by the Applicant, and monitoring results; and

• provide an Annual State of the Environment Report for Lake Cowal with particular reference to the on-going interaction between the mine and the Lake and any requirements of the Secretary. The first report shall be prepared one year after commencement of construction. The report shall be prepared annually thereafter unless otherwise directed by the Secretary and made publicly available on the Applicant’s website for the development within two weeks of the report’s completion.

Recommendations from the IMP’s Annual State of the Environment report (available on Barrick’s website) and Barrick’s responses, will be described in the Annual Review (Section 14).
14 **ANNUAL REVIEW AND REVIEW OF THIS AQMP**

**Annual Review**

The Annual Review will be prepared in accordance with the requirements of Development Consent Condition 9.1 and will be submitted to the Secretary of the DP&E by the end of July each year, or as otherwise agreed with the Secretary. Development Consent Condition 9.1 is reproduced below:

9.1 Environmental Management

b) **Annual Review**

By the end of July each year, or as otherwise agreed with the Secretary, the Applicant shall review the environmental performance of the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This review must:

(i) describe the development that was carried out in the previous calendar year, and the development that is proposed to be carried out over the next year;

(ii) include a comprehensive review of the monitoring results and complaints records of the development over the previous calendar year, which includes a comparison of these results against the:

- the relevant statutory requirements, limits or performance measures/criteria;
- the monitoring results of previous years; and
- the relevant predictions in the EIS;

(iii) identify any non-compliance over the last year, and describe what actions were (or are being) taken to ensure compliance;

(iv) identify any trends in the monitoring data over the life of the development.

(v) identify any discrepancies between the predicted and actual impacts of the development, and analyse the potential cause of any significant discrepancies; and

(vi) describe what measures will be implemented over the next year to improve the environmental performance of the development.

Condition 26 of the Conditions of Authority for ML 1535 also has requirements for Annual Review (formerly the AEMR) reporting which are generally consistent with the requirements of Development Consent Condition 9.1(b). The requirements of Condition 26 are detailed below.

**Annual Environmental Management Report (AEMR)**

26. (1) **Within 12 months of the commencement of mining operations and thereafter annually or, at such other times as may be allowed by the Director-General,** the lease holder must lodge an Annual Environmental Management Report (AEMR) with the Director-General.

(2) The AEMR must be prepared in accordance with the Director-General's guidelines current at the time of reporting and contain a review and forecast of performance for the preceding and ensuing twelve months in terms of:

(a) the accepted Mining Operations Plan;

(b) development consent requirements and conditions;

(c) Environment Protection Authority and Department of Land and Water Conservation licences and approvals;

(d) any other statutory environmental requirements;

(e) details of any variations to environmental approvals applicable to the lease area; and

(f) where relevant, progress towards final rehabilitation objectives.
(3) After considering an AEMR the Director-General may, by notice in writing, direct the lease holder to undertake operations, remedial actions or supplementary studies in the manner and within the period specified in the notice to ensure that operations on the lease area are conducted in accordance with sound mining and environmental practice.

(4) The lease holder shall, as and when directed by the Minister, cooperate with the Director-General to conduct and facilitate review of the AEMR involving other government agencies and the local council.

The Annual Review will report on the following air quality related issues:

- annual average dust deposition results (plotted in g/m²/month) and comparison to the air quality impact assessment criteria (Section 3);
- TSP monitoring results and comparison to the air quality impact assessment criteria (Section 3);
- measures employed to minimise air quality emissions;
- dust-related complaints and management measures undertaken in the event of a non-compliance with the air quality impact assessment criteria;
- review of the performance of dust management measures and/or modification strategies and the air quality monitoring programme;
- interpretation and discussion of the air quality monitoring programme results and any recommended management measures provided by a suitably qualified person; and
- any CEMCC decisions relating to air quality issues.

In addition, amendments to the POEO Act that commenced on 31 March 2012 requires licencees to publish pollutant monitoring data that has been collected as a result of a licence condition, in accordance with section 66(6) of the POEO Act and written requirements issued by the EPA.

In accordance with the above requirements, air quality monitoring data collected in accordance with condition M7 of the EPL will be made publicly available on Barrick’s website.

**Review of this AQMP**

In accordance with Condition 9.1(c) of the Development Consent, this AQMP will be reviewed, within three months of the submission of:

- an Annual Review under Condition 9.1(b);
- an incident report under Condition 9.3(a);
- an audit under Condition 9.2(a);
- an Annual State of the Environment Report under Condition 9.2(b);
- the approval of any modification to the conditions of the Development Consent; or
- any direction of the Secretary under Condition 1.1(c).

Where this review leads to revisions of the AQMP, then within four weeks of the review, the revised AQMP will be submitted for the approval of the Secretary of the DP&E (unless otherwise agreed with the Secretary). The revision status of this AQMP is indicated on the title page of each copy.

This AQMP will be made publicly available on Barrick’s website (www.barrick.com), in accordance with Condition 9.4(a)(iii) of the Development Consent. A hard copy of the AQMP will also be kept at the CGM.
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# List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AEMR</td>
<td>Annual Environmental Management Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQMP</td>
<td>Air Quality Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS/NZS</td>
<td>Australian/New Zealand Standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrick</td>
<td>Barrick (Cowal) Proprietary Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEMCC</td>
<td>Community Environmental Monitoring and Consultative Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGM</td>
<td>Cowal Gold Mine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Methane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA 14/98</td>
<td>Development Consent for the CGM including the Bland Creek Palaeochannel Borefield water supply pipeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA 2011/64</td>
<td>Development Consent for the operation of the Eastern Saline Borefield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG</td>
<td>Dust Gauge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP</td>
<td>Dust Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP&amp;E</td>
<td>NSW Department of Planning and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRE</td>
<td>Division of Resources and Energy within the NSW Department of Trade and Investment, Regional Infrastructure and Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIS</td>
<td>Cowal Gold Project Environmental Impact Statement (North Limited, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMC</td>
<td>Energy Management Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environment Protection Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPL</td>
<td>Environment Protection Licence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>Greenhouse Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAS</td>
<td>High Volume Air Sampler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>Independent Monitoring Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>km</td>
<td>kilometre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>km/hr</td>
<td>kilometres per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>metres per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Mining Lease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MOP Mining Operations Plan

NGER National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting, required under the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act, 2007

NPWS National Parks and Wildlife Service

NSW New South Wales

OEH Office of Environment and Heritage

PM$_{10}$ particles with equivalent aerodynamic diameters of less than 10 µm

PM$_{2.5}$ particles with equivalent aerodynamic diameters of less than 2.5 µm


RMP Rehabilitation Management Plan

SWGMBMP Surface Water, Groundwater, Meteorological and Biological Monitoring Programme

TSF Tailings Storage Facility

TSP Total Suspended Particulate Matter

WRE Waste Rock Emplacement

µg/m$^3$ micrograms per cubic metre

µm micrometres
APPENDIX A

APPROVED METHODS FOR THE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS OF AIR POLLUTANTS IN NEW SOUTH WALES
Approved Methods

for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales
About this publication

Prepared by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), which is part of the Department of Environment and Conservation NSW (DEC).

For technical inquiries about this document, contact DEC’s Ecotoxicology and Environmental Contaminants Section.

Revision history

First published in *NSW Government Gazette* on 24 December 1998, p. 10320, see also *NSW Gov Gazette* 15 January 1999,


This revision appeared in *NSW Government Gazette* on 5 December 2006, p. 32.
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1. Introduction

Purpose of methods

This document lists the methods to be used for the sampling and analysis of air pollutants in New South Wales for statutory purposes. The document covers:

- pollutant emissions from stationary sources
- pollutant emissions from motor vehicles
- components in and properties of petroleum products
- pollutants in ambient air.

Relevant legislation

This document is referred to in:

- the Protection of the Environment Operations (Clean Air) Regulation 2002, Part 4 Emission of Air Impurities from Activities and Plant

It may also be referred to in conditions attached to statutory instruments, such as licences or notices, issued under environment protection legislation, as defined in the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997. Industry has an obligation to ensure compliance with limits specified in the Protection of the Environment Operations (Clean Air) Regulation 2002, Part 4 Emission of Air Impurities from Activities and Plant and Part 5 Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Fuels, and certain statutory instruments. All monitoring to show compliance must be done in one of three ways:

- in accordance with the methods specified in this document
- in accordance with the methods specified in the relevant statutory instrument
- if no method is specified in either this document or the statutory instrument, in a manner approved by the EPA in writing before any tests are conducted.

Exceptional circumstances

In exceptional circumstances, the EPA may approve the use of alternative methods to those provided here. An application for approval of a test method (TM), continuous emissions monitoring method (CEM), other approved method (OM) or ambient monitoring method (AM) as an equivalent alternative to a method in this document (the ‘approved method’) must be made in writing to the Chief Scientist, Environment Protection Authority.

The application must:

- demonstrate that there are exceptional circumstances that justify the use of a method other than the approved method
- give comprehensive technical details of the alternative method for which approval is sought
- show that the alternative method is scientifically sound
show that the alternative method would produce results comparable to those produced by the approved method. This involves establishing method equivalency, by following the procedure outlined in USEPA Method 301, including the optional sections where appropriate.

The EPA will not approve an alternative to a specified TM, CEM, OM or AM unless it is satisfied that the application for approval gives adequate details of the proposed alternative method as listed above.

Any other method, which has been approved by the EPA in accordance with the approval procedures set out above as an equivalent alternative to a TM, CEM, OM or AM of a particular number in this document, is prescribed as an approved method of that same number.
2. Sample collection and handling

Stationary source monitoring

Collect, handle and preserve samples according to the relevant test method. Collect them at the locations determined in accordance with the relevant regulation or specified in the statutory instrument, as the case may be. If the regulation does not provide for determination of the locations or if no locations are specified in the instrument, collect them from locations where they are representative of the total or known portion of the source emissions.


Approved circumstances


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4 and A</td>
<td>Under all circumstances</td>
<td>Under all circumstances</td>
<td>Under all circumstances</td>
<td>Under all circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 and B</td>
<td>Under all circumstances</td>
<td>Under exceptional circumstances</td>
<td>Under all circumstances</td>
<td>Under exceptional circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 and C</td>
<td>Under all circumstances</td>
<td>Under no circumstances</td>
<td>Under all circumstances</td>
<td>Under no circumstances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Group, in relation to any activity or plant, means the Group to which the activity or plant belongs pursuant to its classification prescribed in the Protection of the Environment Operations (Clean Air) Regulation 2002, Part 4 Emission of Air Impurities from Activities and Plant, Division 2 and Division 3.

Ambient air monitoring

When siting ambient air monitoring equipment, follow AS 2922-1987. Monitoring sites are classified into three types: peak, neighbourhood or background. When selecting a monitoring site, you need to consider many parameters, including, locality, terrain, meteorology, emission sources, possible chemical or physical interference, availability of services and site security. Try to co-locate meteorological monitoring equipment and ambient air monitoring equipment unless site-representative meteorological data are available from another nearby meteorological monitoring site.
3. Methods for sampling and analysis

Analyses should be carried out by a laboratory accredited to perform them by an independent accreditation body acceptable to the EPA, such as the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA).

Methods approved by the EPA for specific analytes and parameters are listed in Tables 1 to 3. In most cases, standard methods are referenced, with additional guidance or clarification given if needed. Where there is no suitable published method, a complete description of the approved method is given. Refer to Appendix X: Test method sources for the organisations that publish the approved methods.

Refer to Appendix I: Definitions and generic procedures that apply to stationary source monitoring and reporting.

Table 1: Methods for the sampling and analysis of air pollutants from stationary sources in NSW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method no.</th>
<th>Parameter measured</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TM-1</td>
<td>Selection of sampling positions</td>
<td>AS 4323.1-1995 or USEPA (2000) Method 1 under approved circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-2</td>
<td>Velocity or volumetric flow rate or temperature or pressure of stack gases</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 2 or 2C or USEPA (1999) Method 2F or 2G or 2H (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-3</td>
<td>Sulfuric acid mist (H₂SO₄) or sulfur trioxide (SO₃)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 8 (for sampling and analysis) or APHA (1998) Method 4110B (for analysis only if interference from fluorides, free ammonia and/or dimethyl aniline has been demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Chief Scientist) (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-7</td>
<td>Chlorine (Cl₂)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) 26A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-8</td>
<td>Hydrogen chloride (HCl)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) 26A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-9</td>
<td>Fluorine (F₂) or any compound containing fluorine, except where emitted by a primary aluminium</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 13A or 13B (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method no.</td>
<td>Parameter measured</td>
<td>Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-10</td>
<td>Hydrogen fluoride (HF) emitted by a primary aluminium smelter while manufacturing aluminium from alumina</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 14 or USEPA (1997) Method 14A (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-11</td>
<td>Nitrogen dioxide (NO\textsubscript{2}) or nitric oxide (NO)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 7 or 7A or 7B or 7C or 7D or USEPA (1990) Method 7E or USEPA (1996) Method 20 or ISO (1993) Method 10396 (as appropriate). NO\textsubscript{x} analysers may be substituted in Method 7E provided the performance specifications of the method are met. Both NO and NO\textsubscript{x} must be directly measured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-12</td>
<td>Type 1 substances (elements antimony (Sb), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb) or mercury (Hg) or any compound containing one or more of those elements)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 29 or USEPA (2000) Method 102 (for mercury only in hydrogen rich streams) (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-13</td>
<td>Type 2 substances (elements beryllium (Be), chromium (Cr), cobalt (Co), manganese (Mn), nickel (Ni), selenium (Se), tin (Sn) or vanadium (V) or any compound containing one or more of those elements)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 29 (Analysis for tin and vanadium to be done by Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma Emission Spectroscopy (ICAP) as defined in USEPA Method 29) or USEPA (1986) Method 7910 (for vanadium only) or USEPA (1986) Method 7911 (for vanadium only) (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-14</td>
<td>Cadmium (Cd) or mercury (Hg) or any compound containing one or more of those elements</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 29 or USEPA (2000) Method 102 (for mercury only in hydrogen rich streams) (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-15</td>
<td>Solid particles (Total)</td>
<td>AS 4323.2-1995 or USEPA (2000) Method 5 under approved circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-16</td>
<td>Smoke (if determining whether a specified Ringelmann standard has been exceeded)</td>
<td>AS 3543-1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-18</td>
<td>Dioxins or furans</td>
<td>USEPA (1995) Method 23 (Duration of sampling must be between 6 and 16 hours. Several discrete samples may be taken such that the total sampling time is within the specified range but sampling time for each point must comply with TM-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-19</td>
<td>Volatile organic liquids: total mass of unburnt organic vapours, displaced by the transfer of volatile organic liquids from vapour disposal units</td>
<td>Appendix II: Test method 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-20</td>
<td>Volatile organic liquids: total mass of unrecovered organic vapours, displaced by the transfer of volatile organic liquids from vapour recovery units</td>
<td>Appendix III: Test method 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method no.</th>
<th>Parameter measured</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TM-21</td>
<td>Volatile organic liquids: calculation of vapour pressure</td>
<td>Appendix IV: Test method 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-23</td>
<td>Dry gas density or molecular weight of stack gases</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-24</td>
<td>Carbon dioxide (CO₂) in stack gases</td>
<td>USEPA (1990) Method 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-25</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂) in stack gases</td>
<td>USEPA (1990) Method 3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-33</td>
<td>Total reduced sulfides (TRS)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 16A or 16B (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-37</td>
<td>Smoke (if determining whether standard for emission of smoke from flares has been exceeded)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-38</td>
<td>Combination of air impurities from two or more sources</td>
<td>Appendix VIII: Test method 38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Continuous emission monitoring methods (CEM) prescribed for the purposes of the Protection of the Environment Operations (Clean Air) Regulation 2002, Part 4 Emission of Air Impurities from Activities and Plant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method no.</th>
<th>Parameter measured</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEM-1</td>
<td>Smoke (if determining whether a specified standard of concentration of opacity has been exceeded)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Performance Specification 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM-2</td>
<td>Sulfur dioxide (SO₂) or nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) or nitric oxide (NO)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Performance Specification 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM-3</td>
<td>Oxygen (O₂) or carbon dioxide (CO₂) in stack gases</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Performance Specification 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM-4</td>
<td>Carbon monoxide (CO)</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Performance Specification 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM-8</td>
<td>Volatile organic compounds or methanol</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Performance Specification 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### C. Other approved methods (OM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method no.</th>
<th>Parameter measured</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OM-3</td>
<td>Total or hexavalent chromium emissions from decorative and hard chromium electroplating and anodising operations</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) Method 306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM-4</td>
<td>Total and hexavalent chromium emissions</td>
<td>California EPA Air Resources Board (1997) Method 425 or USEPA (1996) Method 0061 (as appropriate). (Method 0061 is validated for determination of hexavalent chromium from hazardous waste incinerators, municipal waste incinerators, municipal waste combustors and sewage sludge incinerators)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM-5</td>
<td>‘Fine’ particulates (PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>USEPA (1997) Method 201 or 201A (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM-6</td>
<td>Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)</td>
<td>California EPA Air Resources Board (1997) Method 429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM-7</td>
<td>Odour sampling from point sources or odour analysis using dynamic olfactometry</td>
<td>AS 4323.3-2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM-8</td>
<td>Odour sampling from diffuse sources</td>
<td>USEPA (1986) EPA/600/8-8E/008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM-9</td>
<td>‘Coarse’ particulates</td>
<td>Appendix IX: Other approved method 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Any other method, which has been approved by the EPA in accordance with the exceptional circumstances set out in this document as an equivalent alternative to a test method of a particular number in this document, is prescribed as a test method of that same number.

### Table 2: Methods for the sampling and analysis of air pollutants from mobile sources and motor vehicle fuels in NSW

#### A. Test methods (TM) prescribed for the purposes of the Protection of the Environment Operations (Clean Air) Regulation 2002, Part 5 Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Fuels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method no.</th>
<th>Parameter measured</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TM-26</td>
<td>Exhaust and evaporative emissions from spark-ignition motor vehicles</td>
<td>Federal Office of Road Safety ADR 37/00 (1989) or ADR 37/01 (1995) (as appropriate). Refer to Appendix V: Test method 26 for additional guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-27</td>
<td>Lead concentration in leaded and</td>
<td>Appendix VI: Test method 27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method no.</th>
<th>Parameter measured</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TM-28</td>
<td>Phosphorus concentration in unleaded petrol</td>
<td>ASTM (1994) D3231-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-29</td>
<td>Research octane number of unleaded petrol</td>
<td>ASTM (1997) D2699-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM-31</td>
<td>Observation procedure for excessive air impurities: visible emissions</td>
<td>Appendix VII: Test method 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note**: Any other method, which has been approved by the EPA in accordance with the exceptional circumstances set out in this document as an equivalent alternative to a test method of a particular number in this document, is prescribed as a test method of that same number.

Table 3: Methods for the sampling and analysis of ambient air pollutants in NSW

**A. General methods for ambient air monitoring (AM)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method no.</th>
<th>Parameter measured</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM-1</td>
<td>Guide for the siting of sampling units</td>
<td>AS 2922-1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-2</td>
<td>Guide for measurement of horizontal wind for air quality applications</td>
<td>AS 2923-1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-3</td>
<td>Preparation of reference test atmospheres</td>
<td>AS 3580.2.1-1990 or AS 3580.2.2-1990 (as appropriate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-4</td>
<td>Meteorological monitoring guidance for regulatory modelling applications</td>
<td>USEPA (2000) EPA 454/R-99-005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Specific methods for ambient air monitoring (AM)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method no.</th>
<th>Parameter measured</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM-5</td>
<td>Acid gases</td>
<td>AS 3580.3.1-1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-6</td>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>AS 3580.7.1-1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-7</td>
<td>Fluorides – automated, double paper tape sampling method</td>
<td>AS 3580.13.1-1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-9</td>
<td>Fluorides – sodium acetate coated tube absorption method</td>
<td>AS 3580.13.3-1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-10</td>
<td>Hydrogen sulfide</td>
<td>AS 3580.8.1-1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-11</td>
<td>Lead – particulate collection by high-volume sampler</td>
<td>AS 2800-1985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method no.</th>
<th>Parameter measured</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM-12</td>
<td>Nitrogen oxides</td>
<td>AS 3580.5.1-1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-13</td>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>AS 3580.6.1-1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-14</td>
<td>Particulate matter – suspended matter – filter paper soiling method</td>
<td>AS 2724.2-1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-15</td>
<td>Particulate matter – TSP – high-volume sampler method</td>
<td>AS 2724.3-1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-16</td>
<td>Particulate matter – light scattering – integrating nephelometer method</td>
<td>AS 2724.4-1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-17</td>
<td>Particulate matter – impinged matter – directional dust gauge method</td>
<td>AS 2724.5-1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-18</td>
<td>Particulate matter – PM$_{10}$ – high-volume sampler with size-selective inlet</td>
<td>AS 3580.9.6-1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-20</td>
<td>Sulfur dioxide</td>
<td>AS 3580.4.1-1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-21</td>
<td>Volatile organic compounds</td>
<td>AS 3580.11.1-1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM-22</td>
<td>Particulate matter – PM$_{10}$ – TEOM</td>
<td>AS 3580.9.8-2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Any other method, which has been approved by the EPA in accordance with the exceptional circumstances set out in this document as an equivalent alternative to a test method of a particular number in this document, is prescribed as a test method of that same number.
4. Analytical report

Stationary source monitoring

The results of any monitoring required by a statutory instrument must be provided as a summary report signed by the licence holder or, where there is no licence, by the person required to provide the report. The report must contain at least the following information for each air contaminant, unless the statutory instrument states otherwise:

- name and address of reporting organisation or individual
- date of issue of the report
- date, time and place of measurements
- identification of source tested
- the test method used and details of any deviation from that method
- details of source or process operating conditions during sampling and a statement about the representativeness of the sample taken
- location of sampling plane, with respect to the nearest upstream and downstream flow disturbances
- number of sampling points
- period of sampling (start and end times)
- average stack gas velocity in metres per second
- average stack gas temperature in kelvins
- contaminant molecular weight or density in kilograms per cubic metre
- water content of stack gas, expressed as a percentage by volume
- stack gas volumetric flow rate on a dry basis under standard conditions, in cubic metres per second
- concentration of contaminant on a dry basis under standard conditions, in grams per cubic metre
- mass emission rate of contaminant on a dry basis under standard conditions, in grams per second
- details of sample preservation, if applicable
- any factors that may have affected the monitoring results
- the precision of the results (using AS 2706 as a guide)
- details of the most recent calibration of each instrument used to take measurements.

If an air contaminant cannot be detected, results must not be quoted as zero but as less than the method’s limit of detection.

All volumes and concentrations are normally reported as dry at a temperature of 0°C and at an absolute pressure of 101.3 kilopascals (kPa). The EPA’s monitoring requirements may also specify a reference gas level to which the result must be corrected.
Ambient air monitoring

The results of any monitoring required by a statutory instrument must be provided as a summary report signed by the licence holder or, where there is no licence, by the person required to provide the report. The report must contain at least the following information for each air contaminant, unless the statutory instrument states otherwise:

- name and address of reporting organisation or individual
- date of issue of the report
- the test method used and details of any deviation from that method
- period of monitoring (start and end dates and percentage of time the instruments were online)
- location of monitoring points (normal address and Australian Map Grid reference, height above nominal ground level, and a description of the terrain features)
- the air pollutants measured, the monitoring instruments used, and a description of the air sampling system
- maximum hourly average concentration, daily average concentration, and appropriate longer-term averages
- appropriate statistical information to describe the variability and range of the concentrations
- any factors that may have affected the monitoring results
- the precision of the results (using AS 2706 as a guide)
- details of the most recent calibration of each instrument used to take measurements.
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Appendix I:
Definitions and generic procedures that apply to stationary source monitoring and reporting

Cubic metre (m$^3$)
In this document a cubic metre (m$^3$) refers to the volume of dry gas that occupies 1 m$^3$ at a temperature of 0°C (273 K) and at an absolute pressure equivalent to 1 atmosphere (101.3 kPa)

Measuring concentrations and volumes in gases
When reporting concentrations of pollutants in gases for comparison with emission standards or with limits in regulations, licences, approvals, statutory notices, guidelines, codes of practice or environmental management plans, you must use the following conversions. However, where any of these conversions are part of the test method used to determine the concentration, they do not need to be repeated for reporting.

The test method for a pollutant gives the volume of gas sampled at the test conditions (i.e. moisture, temperature, pressure, oxygen and carbon dioxide, etc.) at the sample point, $V_a$.

Adjustment to reference conditions
1. Dry basis
Calculate the volume of dry gas at sample point conditions ($V_b$):
$$ V_b = V_a \times (100 - MC) / 100 $$

2. Standard temperature
Calculate the volume of dry gas at standard temperature (273 K) ($V_c$):
$$ V_c = V_b \times 273 / (273 + \text{gas meter temperature in } ^\circ\text{C}) $$

3. Standard pressure
Calculate the volume of dry gas at standard pressure (101.3 kPa) ($V_d$):
$$ V_d = V_c \times (\text{gas meter pressure in kPa}) / 101.3 $$

4. Determine concentration as dry basis, standard temperature and standard pressure
Divide the measured mass of pollutant ($M_a$) by $V_d$ to determine the concentration ($C_a$):
$$ C_a = M_a / V_d $$

5. Oxygen
For adjustment to an oxygen reference, the adjusted concentration of a pollutant, $C_b$, is determined by:
$$ C_b = C_a \times (21 - \text{reference oxygen concentration as volume }%) / (21 - \text{measured oxygen concentration as volume }%) $$

where:
$$ C_a = \text{the measured concentration of the pollutant, reported at the standard reference conditions} $$
6. Carbon dioxide

For adjustment to a carbon dioxide reference, the adjusted concentration of a pollutant, \( C_a \), is determined by:

\[
C_c = C_a \times 12 \div \text{measured carbon dioxide concentration as volume %}
\]

where:

\( C_a \) = the measured concentration of the pollutant, reported at the standard reference conditions

7. Nitrogen dioxide

Oxides of nitrogen (NO\(_x\)) from combustion of fossil fuels consist predominantly of nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO\(_2\)). Oxides of nitrogen concentrations are converted to equivalent NO\(_2\) as follows:

\[
\text{Equivalent NO}_2 = \text{calculated NO}_2 + (\text{measured NO} \times 46 \div 30)
\]

where:

\( \text{calculated NO}_2 = \text{measured NO}_x - \text{measured NO} \)

46 = molecular weight of NO\(_2\)

30 = molecular weight of NO

Both NO and NO\(_x\) must be measured directly.

Conversion from volume- to mass-based units of concentration

**Equation 1: Ideal gas law**

The physical state of gaseous air pollutants at environmental concentrations may be described by the ideal gas law, as follows:

\[
P \times V = n \times R \times T
\]

where:

\( P \) = absolute pressure of gas (atm)

\( V \) = volume of gas (L)

\( N \) = number of moles of gas (mol)

\( R \) = universal gas constant (L.atm/mol.K)

\( T \) = absolute temperature (K)

**Equation 2**

The number of moles (n) may be calculated from the mass of a pollutant (m) and its molecular weight (MW) as follows:

\[
n = \frac{m}{MW}
\]

**Equation 3**

Substituting Equation 2 into Equation 1 and rearranging terms yields:

\[
V = m \times R \times \frac{T}{P} \times MW
\]

**Equation 4**

Parts per million (ppm) refers to the volume of pollutant (V) per million volumes of air (A):

\[
\text{ppm} = \frac{V}{A} \times 10^6
\]
**Equation 5: Conversion from volume-to-mass-based units of concentration**

Substituting Equation 3 into Equation 4 yields:

$$\text{ppm} = \frac{m}{A} \times \frac{R \times T}{P \times MW \times 10^6}$$

Using the appropriate values for the variables in Equation 5, a conversion from volume to mass based units of concentration for carbon monoxide may be derived as shown below:

- $T = 298.15 \text{ K} \quad (25 ^\circ \text{C})$
- $P = 1 \text{ atm}$
- $MW = 28 \text{ g/mol}$
- $R = 0.08205 \text{ L.atm/mol.K}$

$$\text{ppm} = \frac{m(g) \times 10^3(\text{mg/g})}{A(l)} \times \frac{0.08205(L.atm/mol.K) \times 298.15(K)}{1(atm) \times 28(g/mol) \times 10^6}$$

1 ppm = 1.15 mg/m$^3$

1 mg/m$^3$ = 0.873 ppm

**Conversions at 273 K and 1 atmosphere**

$$C \text{ (mg/m}^3\text{)} = C \text{ (ppm)} \times (\text{MW/22.4})$$

$$C \text{ (ppm)} = C \text{ (mg/m}^3\text{)} \times (22.4/\text{MW})$$

where:

$C = \text{concentration}$

$\text{MW} = \text{molecular weight}$

22.4 = the volume of one litre of air at 1 atmosphere and 273 K

**Volatile organic compounds**

**Calculation of VOC as n-propane equivalent on a mass basis**

VOC as n-propane equivalent is the sum of the concentrations of each individual VOC species which are measured by a GC, or a similar method, and corrected to VOC as n-propane equivalent.

If speciated gaseous non-methane organics (SGNMO) have been measured using TM-34 (USEPA (2000) Method 18), use the following procedure to convert the concentration of each individual VOC species to VOC as n-propane equivalent:

$$C_{VOC} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( C_i \div MW_i \times 44 \right)$$

where:

$C_{VOC} = \text{the calculated concentration of VOC as n-propane equivalent in mg/m}^3 \text{ (dry, 273 K, 101.3 kPa)}$

$C_i = \text{the measured concentration of each individual VOC species in mg/m}^3 \text{ (dry, 273 K, 101.3 kPa)}$

$\text{MW}_i = \text{the molecular weight of each individual VOC species in g/mol}$

44 = the molecular weight of n-propane in g/mol
Calculation of TOC equivalent on a mass basis

Total organic carbon (TOC) equivalent is the sum of the concentrations of each individual VOC species which are measured by a GC, or a similar method, and corrected to TOC equivalent.

If speciated gaseous non-methane organics (SGNMO) have been measured using TM-34 (USEPA (2000) Method 18), use the following procedure to convert the concentration of each individual VOC species to TOC equivalent:

\[
C_{TOC} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{C_i}{MW_i} \right) \times (K_i \times 12)
\]

where:
\[
C_{TOC} = \text{the calculated concentration of TOC in mg/m}^3 \text{ (dry, 273 K, 101.3 kPa)}
\]
\[
C_i = \text{the measured concentration of each individual VOC species in mg/m}^3 \text{ (dry, 273 K, 101.3 kPa)}
\]
\[
MW_i = \text{the molecular weight of each individual VOC species in g/mol}
\]
\[
K_i = \text{the carbon correction factor (number of carbons in the molecule) for each species}
\]

Conversion of total VOC as n-propane equivalent to TOC equivalent on a volume basis

TOC equivalent is a measure of the amount of gaseous or vapour phase organic carbon which is measured by a FID, or similar method, and expressed as TOC equivalent.

If total gaseous non-methane organics (TGNMO) have been measured using TM-34 (USEPA (2000) Method 25 or 25A or 25B or 25C or 25D or 25E), use the following procedure to convert VOC as n-propane equivalent (or other calibrating gas) to total organic carbon (TOC) equivalent and vice versa:

\[
C_{TOC} = K \times C_{VOC}
\]

where:
\[
C_{TOC} = \text{the calculated concentration of TOC as carbon equivalent in ppmv}
\]
\[
C_{VOC} = \text{the measured concentration of VOC as n-propane equivalent (or other calibrating gas) in ppmv}
\]
\[
K = \text{the carbon equivalent correction factor (This is the number of carbons in the molecule and has a value of 3 if n-propane is used as the calibrating gas. An appropriate carbon equivalent correction factor should be selected if a calibrating gas other than n-propane is used.)}
\]

Conversion of TOC equivalent on a volume basis to TOC equivalent on a mass basis

\[
C_{TOC} \text{ (mg/m}^3) = C_{TOC} \text{ (ppm)} \times (12/22.4)
\]

where:
\[
C_{TOC} = \text{the concentration of TOC as total organic carbon equivalent}
\]
\[
12 = \text{the molecular weight of carbon}
\]
\[
22.4 = \text{the volume of one litre of air at 1 atmosphere and 273 K}
\]
Appendix II:
Test method 19 – Determination of total mass of unburnt organic vapours from vapour disposal units

**Sampling**

Draw the exhaust gases through a 316 stainless steel probe to a sample line of PTFE or 316 stainless steel held at a temperature of at least 105°C.

Pass the exhaust gases to a device capable of reducing the moisture content of the gases to a dew point of less than 3°C. Filter the sample gases to remove entrained particles.

**Analysis**

Pass the conditioned sample gases to the following two analysers.

**Hydrocarbon analyser (flame ionisation detector type)**

Set the analyser to zero with air that has a hydrocarbon concentration of less than 10 ppm by volume of propane. Calibrate it with a gas of known propane concentration to give a deflection of between 20% and 90% of full scale on the range being used.

The average of the indicated concentration of hydrocarbons in the conditioned sample gases must lie between 30% and 90% of full-scale deflection of the analyser. The combined effects of carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide in the gases must not affect the reading by more than 2% of full-scale deflection. The deviation from linear response of the analyser must not exceed 2.5% of full-scale deflection.

**Carbon dioxide analyser (non-dispersive infrared type)**

Set the analyser to zero with nitrogen that has a carbon dioxide concentration of less than 0.05% by volume. Calibrate it with a gas of known carbon dioxide concentration to give a deflection of between 20% and 90% of full scale on the range being used.

The analyser must have a full-scale range for carbon dioxide concentration lying between 0% and 14% and 0% and 20% by volume. The deviation from linear response of the analyser must not exceed 2.5% of full-scale deflection.

**Calculation of mass of unburnt organic vapours**

The mass of unburnt organic vapours in each cubic metre of the exhaust gases is equal to:

\[ C \div (42.3 \times L) \text{ grams} \]

**where:**

- \( C \) = the average concentration of hydrocarbons measured as equivalent propane in ppm over the test period
- 42.3 = a conversion factor
- \( L \) = the average concentration of carbon dioxide content expressed as a percentage of sample gases
Appendix III:
Test method 20 – Determination of total mass of unrecovered organic vapours from vapour recovery units

Sampling

Draw the exhaust gases through a sample line of PTFE or 316 stainless steel construction. Pass them to a hydrocarbon analyser (flame ionisation detector type).

Analysis

Set the analyser to zero with air that has a hydrocarbon concentration of less than 10 ppm by volume of propane. Calibrate the analyser with a gas of known propane concentration to give a deflection of between 20% and 90% of full scale on the range being used.

The average of the indicated concentration of hydrocarbons in the exhaust gases must lie between 30% and 90% of full-scale deflection of the analyser. The combined effects of carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide in the gases must not affect the reading by more than 2% of full-scale deflection. The deviation from linear response of the analyser shall not exceed 2.5% of full-scale deflection.

Calculation of mass of unrecovered organic vapours

The mass of unrecovered organic vapours emitted for each litre of organic liquid is:

\[
\frac{318 \times C \times A \times M \times P \times V}{L \times T} \text{ milligrams}
\]

where:

\( C \) = the average concentration of hydrocarbons expressed as equivalent propane in ppm over the test period

\( A \) = the cross-sectional area of the exhaust duct at the plane where the measurements are made in \( \text{m}^2 \)

\( M \) = the total time for organic liquid to pass into the tank or out of the industrial plant in minutes

\( P \) = the atmospheric pressure in kPa

\( V \) = the average exhaust gas velocity in metres per second

\( L \) = the volume of organic liquid passing into the tank or out of the industrial plant in litres

\( T \) = the average exhaust gas temperature in kelvins (273 + temperature in °C)

318 = a conversion factor
Appendix IV:
Test method 21 – Calculation of vapour pressure

A volatile organic liquid for which the Reid vapour pressure may be ascertained shall be deemed to have a vapour pressure exceeding 75 kilopascals if the maximum bulk storage temperature of the liquid is greater than the temperature specified in Column 2 of the table below corresponding to the Reid vapour pressure of the liquid specified in Column 1.

Where the Reid vapour pressure of the liquid lies between two adjacent values specified in Column 1 of the table, the corresponding temperature may be calculated as though a linear relationship existed between the Reid vapour pressure and the temperature at and between those two values.

Where the Reid vapour pressure of the liquid lies outside the values specified in Column 1 of the table or cannot be determined, the vapour pressure of the liquid shall be calculated by such methods as the EPA may determine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1 Reid vapour pressure (kPa)</th>
<th>Column 2 Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix V:
Test method 26 – Exhaust and evaporative emissions from spark-ignition motor vehicles

Testing must be carried out in accordance with the test procedures appropriate for the category of vehicle being tested and its date of manufacture specified in ADR 37/00 or ADR 37/01, Emission Control for Light Vehicles. Special conditions apply, as detailed below.

A reference here to components applies only to those components related to the emission performance of the motor vehicle being tested.

1. Compliance testing of new petrol-powered light vehicles

   • Test the vehicle in the condition in which it is presented for testing (no tuning or special stabilisation distance run-in) unless the manufacturer or its agent requests special preparations to be made before testing.

   • In evaporative emissions testing of a vehicle less than 56 days after it was manufactured, the Clean Air (Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Fuels) Regulation 1997 allows for 1 to 3 g of hydrocarbon emissions emanating from sources other than the fuel system of the vehicle.

2. Compliance testing of in-service petrol-powered light vehicles up to five years old or with 80,000 km accumulated

   • The engine of the vehicle must be tuned to the manufacturer’s specifications.

   • Where components originally fitted to the vehicle have been removed, disabled or tampered with, they must be replaced or repaired before testing.

   • Components that are faulty or broken through normal use of the vehicle must not be replaced or repaired before testing.

   • Components whose performance has deteriorated through normal use must not be replaced before testing.

3. Compliance testing of in-service dual-fuel (petrol/LPG) light vehicles up to five years old or with 80,000 km accumulated, operating on liquefied petroleum gas (LPG)

   • All conditions in 2 (above) apply.

   • Do not conduct the evaporative emission testing procedure.

   • Do not apply any heat source to the vehicle’s LPG storage container(s) during testing.

   • Use LPG consisting of 50% butane and 50% propane. If this is not available, use commercially available LPG.

4. Compliance testing of in-service dual-fuel (petrol/LPG) light vehicles up to five years old or with 80,000 km accumulated, operating on petrol

   • All conditions in 2 (above) apply.

   • Do not apply any heat source to the vehicle’s LPG storage container(s) during testing.
5. Compliance testing of in-service dual-fuel (petrol/CNG) light vehicles up to five years old or with 80,000 km accumulated, operating on compressed natural gas (CNG)

- All conditions in 2 (above) apply.
- Do not conduct the evaporative emission testing procedure.
- Do not apply any heat source to the vehicle’s CNG storage container(s) during testing.
- Use commercially available CNG for this test.

6. Compliance testing of in-service dual-fuel (petrol/CNG) light vehicles up to five years old or with 80,000 km accumulated, operating on petrol

- All conditions in 2 (above) apply.
- Do not apply any heat source to the vehicle’s CNG storage container(s) during testing.
Appendix VI:
Test method 27 – Lead concentration in leaded and unleaded petrol

The lead in all petrol must be determined with this test method. The method was developed by the EPA from the standard test methods AS 1876-1990 Petrol (Gasoline) for Motor Vehicles and ASTM D3237-97 Standard Test Method for Lead in Gasoline by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry.

Applicability

This method is independent of the lead alkyl type and should be used to sample from bowsers, tanks, delivery tanks, tank vehicles, motor vehicles and pipelines.

Principle

The petrol sample is diluted with methyl isobutyl ketone, and the alkyl lead compounds are stabilised by reaction with iodine and a quaternary ammonium salt. The lead content of the sample is determined by atomic absorption flame spectrophotometry at 283 nm. Standards prepared from reagent-grade lead chloride are used for calibration.

Range

Lead concentrations in the range 0.005 to 1 g/L can be determined. Higher lead concentrations require dilution with iso-octane before analysis.

Sampling and storage

Containers for samples

Sampling containers must be metal cans with welded side seams and a minimum capacity of 250 mL. Each container must have an opening at the top of 30 to 50 mm in diameter. The top must be sealed with a vapour-tight screw cap.

Number of samples

Take two samples of the petrol to be tested and analyse the lead content of each. If requested, leave a third sealed sample with the owner or the person in charge.

Sampling from a bowser

Pour the petrol directly from the nozzle of the bowser hose into the top opening of the sample container. Fill the container to near capacity.

Sampling from other sources

Take a representative sample. Pour it into a sample container for transport.

Storage

Store samples at 0° to 8°C. Bring them to room temperature before analysis.

Cleaning procedures

Rinse the sampling containers with acetone (propanone) or iso-octane. Dry them in a drying cabinet at 40°C or higher.
Reagents

- MIBK: methyl isobutyl ketone (4-methyl-2-pentanone) (AR grade).
- Iodine solution: Dissolve 3.0 g AR grade iodine in AR grade toluene and dilute to 100 mL with the toluene. Store in a brown glass bottle.
- Aliquat 336: Tricapryl methyl ammonium chloride.
- 10% Aliquat 336/MIBK solution: Dilute 100 mL of Aliquat 336 to 1 L with MIBK.
- 1% Aliquat 336/MIBK Solution: Dilute 10 mL of Aliquat 336 to 1 L with MIBK.
- Lead chloride: At least 99% pure.
- Stock lead solution (2000 mg/L): Dry lead chloride at 105° ± 5°C for 3 hours. Dissolve 0.6711 g in about 200 mL of 10% Aliquat 336/MIBK solution. Dilute to the mark in 250 mL volumetric flask with 10% Aliquot 336/MIBK solution. Mix well and store in a brown glass bottle.
- Intermediate stock lead solution (100 mg/L): Transfer accurately by pipette 5 mL of stock lead solution to a 100 mL volumetric flask. Dilute to the mark with 10% Aliquot 336/MIBK solution. Mix well and store in a brown glass bottle.
- Iso-octane: trimethylpentane (AR grade).
- Nitric acid (1+1): Mix equal volumes of concentrated AR grade nitric acid and distilled water.
- Acetone (AR grade).

Maximum storage periods for reagents:

- Iodine solution – 30 days
- 10% Aliquat 336/MIBK – 30 days
- 1% Aliquat 336/MIBK – 30 days
- Stock lead solution – 30 days
- Standard lead solution – 1 day

Grades of reagents other than those indicated may be used with two privisos:

- The reagent is of high enough purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.
- The same source of reagent is used for all standards and blanks.

Analysis

General

Glassware must be de-leded by rinsing with dilute nitric acid (1+1) and then rinsed with distilled water. Wash the glassware with acetone and dry it at 50° ± 5°C.

Preparation of working standards (2, 5, 10, 20 mg/L)

Transfer accurately by pipette 1.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 10.0 mL of the intermediate stock lead solution (100 mg/L) to four 50 mL volumetric flasks. Adjust the volume of each to 10 mL by adding 1% Aliquat/MIBK solution and add 10 mL of iso-octane. Add 0.1 mL of iodine solution, mix well and allow to react for at least 1 minute. Dilute to volume with MIBK and mix.
Preparation of the blank
To a 50 mL volumetric flask add 30 mL of MIBK then 10 mL of iso-octane. Add 0.1 mL of iodine solution, mix well and allow to react for at least 1 minute. Add 5 mL of 1% Aliquat/MIBK solution and mix. Dilute to volume with MIBK and mix.

Preparation of sample
- For petrol with a lead concentration of < 0.1 g/L: To a 50 mL volumetric flask containing 30 mL of MIBK and 10 mL of petrol sample, add 0.1 mL of iodine solution. Mix well and allow to react for at least 1 minute. Add 5 mL of 1% Aliquat/MIBK solution. Dilute to volume with MIBK and mix.
- For petrol with a lead concentration of 0.1–1 g/L: To a 50 mL volumetric flask add 30 mL of MIBK. Add 1–5 mL of petrol sample and enough iso-octane to yield a final sample volume of 10 mL. Add 0.1 mL of iodide solution, mix well and allow to react for at least 1 minute. Add 5 mL of 1% Aliquat/MIBK solution. Dilute to volume with MIBK and mix.
- For petrol with a lead concentration of > 1 g/L, dilute with iso-octane before analysis.

Preparation of the atomic absorption spectrophotometer
Optimise the instrument for lead at 283.3 nm. Using the blank, adjust the gas mixture (acetylene/air) and aspiration rate to obtain an oxidising lean, blue flame. Aspirate the 20 mg/L lead working standard and adjust the instrument to achieve maximum response.

Standardisation and analysis
Aspirate the reagent blank and adjust the instrument to zero. Measure the absorbances of the 2, 5, 10 and 20 mg/L lead working standards. Aspirate the samples and record the absorbance values. Aspirate the blank between each sample measurement.

For instruments without a direct concentration readout, prepare a calibration curve by plotting the absorbance of the working standards against their concentrations (mg/L) on linear graph paper.

Calculations
Read the lead concentration in g/L directly from the instrument readout or calculate it by referring to the appropriate calibration curve:

\[
\text{Lead concentration in petrol sample (g/L)} = (C \times V_1) \div (V_2 \times 1000)
\]

where:

- \(C\) = lead concentration (mg/L) of sample (as read from graph or instrument readout)
- \(V_1\) = final volume (50 mL)
- \(V_2\) = volume of sample petrol (mL)
Appendix VII:  
Test method 31 – Observation procedure for excessive air impurities: visible emissions

When an observer is determining if a vehicle is being used in breach of the clause limiting visible emissions, the following principles apply:

- The observer must be satisfied that the vehicle generating the visible emissions is correctly identified.
- The observer must be satisfied that the emissions are visible not just because of heat or the condensation of water vapour.
- The emissions must be continuously visible for more than 10 seconds.

The following details of the observation must be recorded:

- Length of time in seconds that the visible emissions were observed.
- Registration number of the motor vehicle under observation.
- Type of motor vehicle under observation.
- Colour and darkness, in the opinion of the observer, of the air impurities emitted.
- Location, date and approximate time of day that the observation was made.

In the case of observation of digital imagery produced by an Approved Vehicle Emission Recording System (AVERS):

When an observer of digital imagery produced by an AVERS is determining if a vehicle is being used in breach of the clause limiting visible emissions, the following principles apply:

- The observer must be satisfied that the vehicle generating the visible emissions is correctly identified.
- The observer must be satisfied that the visible emissions are visible not just because of heat or the condensation of water vapour.
- The emissions must be continuously visible on any digital video imagery produced by the AVERS for more than 10 seconds.

The following details of the observation must be recorded:

- Length of time in seconds that the visible emissions were observed.
- Registration number of the motor vehicle depicted in the digital imagery.
- Type of motor vehicle depicted in the digital imagery.
- Colour and darkness, in the opinion of the observer, of the air impurities which, by reference to the digital imagery, were emitted.
- Location, date and approximate time of day that the digital imagery was created.
For the purposes of this Test Method 31 the following is an Approved Vehicle Emission Recording Systems:

The hardware and software components of the system known as the Vehicle Emission Enforcement System operated by the Roads and Traffic Authority of NSW and installed to record digital imagery of vehicles emitting visible emissions in the M5 East Tunnel, Earlwood and to enable subsequent viewing of that imagery.
Appendix VIII:  
Test method 38 – Combination of air impurities from two or more sources

A combined source is a discharge point that combines discharge streams from two or more emission units, prior to discharge to the atmosphere. The procedure for calculating the alternative standard of concentration for a combined source is detailed in the following equation.

\[
C_T = \frac{C_1 \cdot q_1 + C_2 \cdot q_2 + \ldots + C_N \cdot q_N}{q_1 + q_2 + \ldots + q_N}
\]

where:

- \(C_T\) = the alternative standard of concentration for the combined source
- \(C_1, C_2, C_N\) = the standards of concentration that are applicable to each of \(N\) emission units
- \(q_1, q_2, q_N\) = the volumetric flow rates of each of \(N\) emission units
Appendix IX:
Other approved method 9 – ‘Coarse’ particulates

To determine ‘coarse’ particulates:

- determine solid particles (total) using TM-15
- **simultaneously** determine ‘fine’ particulates (PM$_{10}$) using OM-5, then
- subtract the result of OM-5 (‘fine’ particulates (PM$_{10}$)) from the result of TM-15 (solid particles (total)) to determine ‘coarse’ particulates.

Sampling and analysis for solid particles (total) and ‘fine’ particulates (PM$_{10}$) must be carried out simultaneously using TM-15 and OM-5 respectively, to ensure the influence of process variations do not affect the results.
Appendix X: Test method sources

**Australian Standard test methods**

Available for purchase from:

Standards Australia  
1 The Crescent  
Homebush NSW 2140  
(PO Box 1055, Strathfield NSW 2135)  
Phone: 1300 65 46 46  
Fax: 1300 65 49 49  
Email: sales@standards.com.au  
Website: www.standards.com.au

**American Public Health Association test methods**

*Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 20th Edition is available for purchase from:

Australian Water Association  
PO Box 388  
Artarmon NSW 1570  
Phone: (02) 9413 1288  
Fax: (02) 9413 1047  
Email: bookshop@awa.asn.au  
Website: www.awa.asn.au

**American Society for Testing and Materials test methods**

Available from Standards Australia (see above) or direct from:

American Society for Testing and Materials  
100 Barr Harbor Drive  
West Conshohocken PA 19428-2959, USA  
Phone: 0011 1 610 832 9500  
Fax: 0015 1 610 832 9500  
Website: www.astm.org/index.html#

**United States Environmental Protection Agency test methods**

Available from:

USEPA  
National Service Center for Environmental Publications  
PO Box 42419  
Cincinnati OH 45242, USA  
Phone: 0011 1 513 489 8190  
Fax: 0015 1 513 489 8695  
Website: www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/ or www.epa.gov/ (for on-line ordering)  
SW-846 series: www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/main.htm
Approved Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Air Pollutants in New South Wales

California Environmental Protection Agency Air Resources Board

Available from:
Office of Communications
2020 L Street
Sacramento CA 95814, USA
Phone: 0011 1 916 322 2990
Fax: 0015 1 916 445 5025
Website: www.arb.ca.gov/testmeth/vol3/vol3.htm

Australian Design Rules test methods

The Federal Office of Road Safety publishes Australian Design Rules for motor vehicles. ADR 37/00 or 37/01 Emission Control for Light Vehicles is available from:

Federal Office of Road Safety
15 Mort Street
Canberra ACT 2600
(PO Box 594, Canberra ACT 2601)
Phone: (02) 6274 7111
Fax: (02) 6274 7922
Website: www.atsb.gov.au/fors/contact.htm

International Standard Organisation test methods

The International Standard Organisation test methods are available from:

International Organisation for Standardisation
Case Postale 56
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland

ISO catalogue information is available at www.iso.ch or from Standards Australia as detailed above.

Environment Canada test methods

The Environment Canada test methods are available from:

Environment Protection Publications
Technology Development Branch
Conservation and Protection
Environment Canada
Ontario K1A OH3
Email: epspubs@ec.gc.ca
Website: www.ec.gc.ca/publications.cfm