Evolution Mining

Diggers & Dealers Forum 2013



Disclaimer




- This presentation has been prepared by Evolution Mining Limited ("Evolution Mining") and consists of slides for a presentation concerning the Company. By reviewing / attending this presentation, you acknowledge and agree the following.
- This presentation includes forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements inherently involve subjective judgement and analysis and are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties, contingencies and other factors, many of which are outside the control of, and may be unknown to, Evolution Mining. As such, actual results or performance may vary materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. The types of factors that could cause such variation in actual results or performance include (without limitation) commodity prices, operational problems and general economic conditions. Given these factors, undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements, which speak only as at the date of this presentation. Subject to any continuing obligations under applicable law or any relevant stock exchange listing rules, Evolution Mining does not undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements contained in this presentation, including (without limitation) where Evolution Mining's expectations change in relation to such statements and where there is a change in events, conditions or circumstances providing the basis for any such statement.
- No representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the accuracy, completeness, reliability, fairness or correctness of the information contained in this presentation. To the maximum extent permitted by law, Evolution Mining and its related bodies corporate and affiliates, and each of their respective directors, officers, employees, agents and representatives, disclaim any liability or responsibility for loss or damage arising from or in connection with the use of the information contained in this presentation.

Australian mid-tier gold producer Evolution



Corporate Information	
ASX Code	EVN
Shares	708.1M
Market Capitalisation ¹	A\$616M
Daily Turnover ²	A\$2.1M
Major Shareholders	Newcrest 33% Allan Gray 12%
Cash ³	A\$13.7M
Debt ³	A\$126.8M
Available Credit	A\$73.2M
Forward Sales ³	85,422oz at A\$1,573/oz
Dividend Proposed	2% of gold production



^{1.} At 31 July 2013

³ month average to 31 July 2013

^{3.} At 30 June 2013

^{4.} C1 cost - cost before royalties and after silver credits

AISC (All in sustaining costs) - include C1 cash cost, plus royalty expense, plus sustaining capital expense, plus general corporate and administration expenses plus exploration expense

Back to the future




"Mining securities are not the thing for widows and orphans or country clergymen, or unworldly people of any kind to own.

But for a businessman, who must take risks in order to make money; who will buy nothing without careful, thorough investigation; and who will not risk more than he is able to lose, there is no other investment in the market today as tempting as mining stock."

Charles Dow, 1879

Credit(ibility) crisis





Changing landscape



"The Only Major Gold Discovery in a Decade, Gone, Goodbye!"

"Indonesian mine ownership disputes"

"Gold Mine or Land Mine?"

"Africa's increasing risks scaring off mining investments: report"

"Chile blocks Pascua-Lama mine, fines Barrick Gold \$16-million for serious environmental violation"

"Kinross stock hammered as gold giant walks away from \$1 bln project"

"Barrick's Pascua-Lama gold project frozen for at least 1-2 years: Chile regulator"


"Of the top 40 global mining companies, 20 are now from emerging markets." PwC

The Australian opportunity



- A\$ falling
- Input costs reducing
- Productivity must improve
- Political environment will improve
- Up to 50% of production may change hands

Gold Industry C1 Cash Costs by Country Q3 2012



The Australian advantage





"The recent decline in the exchange rate seems to make sense from a macroeconomic perspective and it would not be a major surprise if a further decline occurred over time." Glenn Stevens, RBA

Mid-tier: the sweet spot



Majors

- Traditional model under pressure
- Diseconomies of scale across multi jurisdictional operations

Juniors

- Access to capital becoming more difficult
- Development cost inflation
- Economic deposits harder to find

Mid-tiers

- Large enough to fund growth through cash flow
- Small enough to deliver meaningful growth



"Only when the tide goes out do you discover who's been swimming naked."

Warren Buffett

Who we are













All five Australian assets 100% owned

Reliable and consistent gold producer

Excellent exploration potential


3.6 Mozeq Ore Reserve

7.7 Mozeq Mineral Resource


30% production growth since FY11

Growing production and resources Evolution





Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve




^{*} Gold equivalent ounces for these periods

Reliability




- Delivering on production and cash cost guidance since creation
 - FY13 production of 392,920oz at C1 cash cost of A\$790/oz, in-line with original guidance of 370,000 -410,000oz at C1 cash cost of A\$730 - A\$790/oz
 - FY12 production of 346,979oz (280,401oz attributable), in-line with original guidance of 335,000 375,000oz (265,000 300,000oz attributable) at A\$771/oz significantly below cash cost guidance of A\$800 A\$850/oz
- Delivering on Mt Carlton growth project the first gold mine opened in Queensland in over a decade



Consistency



- Portfolio of five mines ensures a predictable performance
- Cracow consistent production since 2004
- Mt Rawdon Evolution's cornerstone asset with consistent production since 2001
- Edna May record production of 86,216oz achieved in FY2013
- Pajingo ~90% increase in production since FY11 - field has produced 2.6Moz since 1996



Operational stability - meeting investor expectations

Productivity



- Production focused on high margin ounces and disciplined capital expenditure
- Ongoing focus on cost reduction opportunities
- Cracow changed to owner miner on 1 July 2013 with a cost benefit of ~A\$50/oz
- Edna May investment in a larger fleet to improve productivity



Ongoing focus on cost reduction

Mt Carlton



A case study on why we operate in Australia

Access to infrastructure



Dealing with land owners and traditional owners



Clear path to development




25 year mining lease



Delivering on exploration upside



- Experienced team with a successful record working towards a transformational discovery
- Highly prospective tenement holding over 6,750km²
- Recent exploration success including discovering new mineralised epithermal veins at Cracow and Pajingo
- New focus on integrating geochemical and geophysical databases to re-open regional prospectivity



FY14 outlook



	Gold Eq. Production (oz)	Cash Operating Costs (A\$/oz)	Capital Expenditure (A\$M)
Cracow	82,500 - 90,000	840 - 890	30 - 35
Pajingo	72,500 - 80,000	800 - 850	30 - 35
Mt Rawdon	95,000 - 110,000	725 - 775	60 - 65
Edna May	85,000 - 95,000	800 - 850	25 - 30
Mt Carlton	65,000 - 75,000	700 - 750	15 - 20
Group	400,000 - 450,000	770 - 820	160 - 185

A focus on disciplined capital expenditure

The Evolution value proposition Evolu



Australia

- Low risk First World jurisdiction
- Second largest gold producer globally
- Globally competitive on costs and improving

Delivery

- Delivering on guidance since creation
- Delivering a significant growth project
- Delivering on exploration upside

Gold dividend

Dividend linked to gold production and gold price


Growth

- Exploration funded through strong cash flow
- Opportunistic, logical acquisitions
- Newcrest relationship

Evolution Mining

ASX Code: EVN






Cracow



- Consistent production operating since 2004
- Changed to owner-mining on 1 July 2013 cost benefit of ~A\$50/oz expected
- FY14 outlook of 82,500 90,000oz at a cash cost of A\$840 - A\$890/oz




Mine Type	Underground
Minerals	Gold and silver
Mineralisation type	Low-sulphidation epithermal
Throughput	550ktpa
Average grade	6g/t gold
Recovery	94%
FY14 production outlook	82,500 - 90,000oz
FY14 cash cost outlook	A\$840 - A\$890/oz
Mine Life	5 years
Ore Reserves	0.27Moz gold
Mineral Resources	0.84Moz gold

Pajingo



- Field has produced 2.6Moz since 1996
- Turnaround achieved year on year production increase since acquisition in 2011
- FY14 outlook of 72,500 80,000oz at a cash cost of A\$800 - A\$850/oz
- 2012 drilling increased Mineral Resources by 45% - further exploration upside




Mine Type	Underground
Minerals	Gold
Mineralisation type	Low-sulphidation epithermal
Throughput	650ktpa
Average grade	6g/t gold
Recovery	96%
FY14 production outlook	72,500 - 80,000oz
FY14 cash cost outlook	A\$800 - A\$850/oz
Mine Life	5 years
Ore Reserves	0.33Moz gold
Mineral Resources	1.31Moz gold (excl. Twin Hills)

Mt Rawdon



- Evolution's cornerstone asset consistent production since 2001
- Will generate significant cash flow after completion of Stage 3 cutback in 2015
- FY14 outlook of 95,000 110,000oz at a cash cost of A\$725 - A\$775/oz




Mine Type	Open pit
Minerals	Gold and silver
Mineralisation type	Volcanic hosted
Throughput	3.5Mtpa
Average grade	1.0g/t gold
Recovery	90%
FY14 production outlook	95,000 - 110,000oz
FY14 cash cost outlook	A\$725 - A\$775/oz
Mine Life	10 years
Ore Reserves	1.0Moz gold
Mineral Resources	1.3Moz gold

Edna May



- Record production of 86,216oz achieved in FY13, an 18% increase on FY12
- FY14 outlook of 85,000 95,000oz at cash cost of A\$800 - A\$850/oz - a decrease on FY13 (A\$900/oz)
- Further improvements expected through move to larger fleet and cost-out program



Mine Type	Open pit
Minerals	Gold and silver
Mineralisation type	Reef structures and gold stockwork
Throughput	2.6Mtpa
Average grade	1.0g/t gold
Recovery	90%
FY14 production outlook	85,000 - 95,000oz
FY14 cash cost outlook	A\$800 - A\$850/oz
Mine Life	9 years
Ore Reserves	0.71Moz gold
Mineral Resources	1.64Moz gold

Mt Carlton



- First new gold mine opened in Queensland in over a decade
- Construction commenced only five years after discovery
- Commercial production expected in Sept 2013 quarter
- First concentrate shipment occurred in May
 2013 LOM off-take agreements are in place
- Significant exploration upside

Mine Type	Open pit
Minerals	Gold, silver and copper
Mineralisation type	High sulphidisation epithermal
Throughput	800,000tpa
Average grade	V2 open pit - 3.7g/t gold eq.
Recovery	88% (silver), 90% (gold)
FY14 production outlook	65,000 - 75,000oz
FY14 cash cost outlook	A\$700 - A\$750/oz
Mine Life	12 years
Ore Reserves	1.2Moz gold equivalent
Mineral Resources	2.2Moz gold equivalent

Mineral Resource Statement Dec 2012

	Gold		Measured				Indicated			Inferred		Total Resource		
Project	Туре	Cut-Off	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Gold Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Gold Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Gold Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Gold Metal (koz)
Pajingo	Open-Pit	0.5	0.01	3.6	1	0.17	5.0	28	0.32	1.2	12	0.51	2.6	42
Pajingo ¹	Underground	2.5	1.14	8.4	306	3.27	5.9	621	2.06	5.1	337	6.46	6.1	1,264
Cracow ¹	Underground	2.3	0.28	8.6	79	1.11	7.7	275	2.90	5.2	488	4.29	6.1	842
Edna May ¹	Open-Pit	0.4	21.1	0.9	629	16.5	1.0	514	8.18	0.9	226	45.8	0.9	1,369
Edna May	Underground	3.0	-	-	-	0.63	7.2	146	0.58	6.9	128	1.21	7.1	273
Mt Carlton	Open-Pit	0.35	9.28	2.0	587	14.7	1.5	695	1.41	1.5	68	25.4	1.7	1,350
Mt Rawdon ¹	Open-Pit	0.23	1.84	0.4	23	51.5	0.7	1,203	3.42	0.6	62	56.7	0.7	1,288
Twin Hills	Open-Pit	0.5	-	-	-	2.42	2.2	170	0.64	1.7	35	3.06	2.1	204
Twin Hills	Underground	2.3	0.54	4.1	71	0.01	3.5	36	0.01	3.9	87	1.56	3.9	194
	Total		34.1	1.5	1,696	90.6	1.3	3,688	20.2	2.2	1,443	145	1.5	6,827

Silver			Measured			Indicated				Inferred		Total Resource		
Project	Туре	Cut-Off	Tonnes (Mt)	Silver Grade (g/t)	Silver Metal (koz)									
Mt Carlton ¹	Open-Pit	*	11.7	57	21,437	14.9	19	9,004	1.51	16	796	28.1	35	31,237
Total			11.7	57	21,437	14.9	19	9,004	1.51	16	796	28.1	35	31,237

Copper			Measured			Indicated				Inferred		Total Resource		
Project	Туре	Cut-Off	Tonnes (Mt)	Copper Grade (%)	Copper Metal (kt)									
Mt Carlton ¹	Open-Pit	*	11.7	0.24	27.9	14.9	0.21	32.1	1.51	0.20	3.0	28.1	0.22	63.1
Total		11.7	0.24	27.9	14.9	0.21	32.1	1.51	0.20	3.0	28.1	0.22	63.1	

	Measured			Indicated			Inferred			Total Resource		
Gold Equivalent	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Equiv. Grade (g/t)	Gold Equiv. Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Equiv. Grade (g/t)	Gold Equiv. Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Equiv. Grade (g/t)	•	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Equiv. Grade (g/t)	Gold Equiv. Metal (koz)
Total Group	36.5	1.9	2,228	90.9	1.4	3,992	20.3	2.3	1,470	148	1.6	7,691

Notes

Data is reported to significant figures and differences may occur due to rounding Mineral Resources are reported inclusive of Ore Reserves

The gold equivalence calculation represents total metal value for each metal summed and expressed in equivalent gold grade and ounces. The prices used in the calculation being A\$1350/oz Au, A\$28.00/oz Ag and A\$2.00/lb Cu

Metallurgical recovery to concentrate of 90.0% for gold, 92.0% for silver at V2 and 88.0% for silver at A39 and 92.0% for copper as indicated by metallurgical testwork 1 Troy Ounce = 31.1034768 grams

Au Eq for Silver = ((Price Ag per Oz x Ag Recovery)/(Price Au per Oz x Au Recovery)) x Ag Grade

Au Eq for Copper = ((Price Cu per lb x 2204.623) x (Cu Recovery)) / ((Price Au per Oz x Au Recovery / 31.1034768) x (Cu Grade / 100))

¹ Includes stockpiles

^{*} Combined figure for V2 using 0.35g/t Au cut-off and A39 using 42g/t Ag cut-off

Ore Reserve Statement Dec 2012



	Gold			Proved			Probable		Total Reserve			
Project	Туре	Cut-Off	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Gold Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Gold Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Grade (g/t)	Gold Metal (koz)	
Pajingo	Open-Pit	0.65	0.01	3.9	1	0.17	5.6	30	0.18	5.5	31	
Pajingo ¹	Underground	3.0	0.56	7.1	129	0.88	6.0	169	1.44	6.4	298	
Cracow ¹	Underground	3.6	0.17	8.3	46	1.44	4.9	226	1.61	5.3	273	
Edna May ¹	Open-Pit	0.4	13.4	0.9	402	9.14	1.0	306	22.5	1.0	709	
Mt Carlton	Open-Pit	0.69	4.52	3.1	446	4.61	2.5	366	9.13	2.8	812	
Mt Rawdon ¹	Open-Pit	0.3	1.08	0.5	17	38.7	0.8	1,009	39.8	0.8	1,026	
	Total		19.7	1.6	1,042	54.9	1.2	2,106	75	1.3	3,148	

Silver			Proved			Probable			Total Reserve		
Project	Туре	Cut-Off	Tonnes (Mt)	Silver Grade (g/t)	Silver Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Silver Grade (g/t)	Silver Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Silver Grade (g/t)	Silver Metal (koz)
Mt Carlton ¹	Open-Pit	**	5.81	69	12,969	4.61	20	2,991	10.4	48	15,960
	Total		5.81	69	12,969	4.61	20	2,991	10.4	48	15,960

Copper		Proved			Probable			Total Reserve			
Project	Туре	Cut-Off	Tonnes (Mt)	Copper Grade (%)	Copper Metal (kt)	Tonnes (Mt)	Copper Grade (%)	Copper Metal (kt)	Tonnes (Mt)	Copper Grade (%)	Copper Metal (kt)
Mt Carlton ¹	Open-Pit	**	5.81	0.29	17.1	4.61	0.23	10.5	10.4	0.26	27.6
	Total		5.81	0.29	17.1	4.61	0.23	10.5	10.4	0.26	27.6

	Proved			Probable			Total Reserve		
Gold Equivalent	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Equiv. Grade (g/t)	Gold Equiv. Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Equiv. Grade (g/t)	Gold Equiv. Metal (koz)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Equiv. Grade (g/t)	Gold Equiv. Metal (koz)
Total Group	21.0	2.0	1,365	54.9	1.2	2,204	75.9	1.5	3,570

Data is reported to significant figures and differences may occur due to rounding ¹ Includes stockpiles

The gold equivalence calculation represents total metal value for each metal summed and expressed in equivalent gold grade or ounces The prices used in the calculation being A\$1350/oz Au, A\$28.00/oz Ag and A\$2.00/lb Cu

metallurgical recovery to concentrate of 90.0% for gold, 92.0% for silver at V2 and 88.0% for silver at A39 and 92.0% for copper as indicated by metallurgical

^{**} Combined figure for V2 using 0.69g/t Au cut-off and A39 using 53g/t Ag cut-off

¹ Troy Ounce = 31.1034768 grams

Au Eq for Silver = ((Price Ag per Oz x Ag Recovery)/(Price Au per Oz x Au Recovery)) x Ag Grade
Au Eq for Copper = ((Price Cu per lb x 2204.623) x (Cu Recovery)) / ((Price Au per Oz x Au Recovery / 31.1034768) x (Cu Grade / 100))

Competent person statement



The information in this presentation that relates to exploration results, Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves listed in the table below is based on work compiled by the person whose name appears in the same row, who is employed on a full-time basis by the employer named in that row and is a member of the institute named in that row. Each person named in the table below has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he or she has undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person. Each person named in the table consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

Activity	Name of Competent Person	Institute
Cracow Mineral Resources	Shane Pike	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
Cracow Ore Reserves	Fusheng Li	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
Pajingo Mineral Resources	Calvin Ferguson	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
Pajingo Ore Reserves - Open-Pit	Tony Wallace	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
Pajingo Ore Reserves - Underground	Johan Booyse	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
Edna May Ore Reserves	Luke Cox	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
Edna May Mineral Resources	John Winterbottom	Australian Institute of Geoscientists
Mt Rawdon Mineral Resources	Hans Andersen	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
Mt Rawdon Ore Reserves	Tony Wallace	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
Mt Carlton Mineral Resources	John Winterbottom	Australian Institute of Geoscientists
Mt Carlton Ore Reserves	Tony Wallace	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
Twin Hills Mineral Resources	John Winterbottom	Australian Institute of Geoscientists

Evolution Mining

ASX Code: EVN

